



THIRD POINT INVESTORS LIMITED

Annual Report and
Audited Financial Statements

31 December 2022



Third Point Investors Limited (“TPIL”) offers a unique access point to Daniel Loeb’s Third Point LLC and its strong track record of delivering returns for investors since 1995. Third Point LLC adopts an active and engaged approach to global investing for investors wishing to diversify their portfolios. Unconstrained in style and free of benchmark confinement, Daniel Loeb’s investment speciality is to pivot opportunistically across asset classes, optimising risk-adjusted returns over the longer term.



Why Third Point Investors?



Exposure to the flagship Third Point Master Fund

As a UK-listed Company, TPIL offers UK investors a unique and efficient access point to Third Point LLC's flagship Master Fund, which has delivered attractive risk-adjusted returns to investors since its inception in 1995.



Different pillars of investment strategy

The Third Point LLC ("Third Point" or the "Investment Manager") investment strategy centres on four distinctive pillars: activism; fundamental and event-driven equities; credit; and private markets (ventures). CIO Daniel Loeb is responsible for overall capital allocation across these strategies, according to his reading of market conditions.



Unconstrained and agile

The Investment Manager opportunistically pivots across asset classes, capital structure and geographic domicile according to where it sees good potential risk-adjusted returns. It is not a benchmark-driven fund and therefore it provides a differentiated approach and outcome for global investors seeking diversification.



Constructivist engagement

Third Point aims to derive long-term value through various forms of constructivist engagement with companies in which it invests. It also pursues event-driven opportunities, identifying misunderstood catalysts such as M&A and special situations that will unlock value.



Always striving to improve

The Investment Manager's cultural philosophy values teamwork and improvement. It respects the Japanese business concept of Gemba Kaizen, which takes into consideration the skills of the entire organisation, with the understanding that even the smallest of adjustments will create value over time.



Governance

TPIL is a Guernsey-domiciled, London-listed investment company which is a member of the Association of Investment Companies (AIC) in the UK. A majority of independent directors on a board is an important hallmark of good UK governance practice.

Contents

Overview

- 2 Why Third Point Investors?
- 4 Historical Performance
- 5 Financial Highlights
- 6 Chairman's Statement

Portfolio

- 10 Investment Manager's Review
- 18 Portfolio Analysis
- 19 Investment Team

Governance

- 22 Directors
- 24 Strategic Report
- 27 Section 172 Report
- 32 Directors' Report
- 38 Statement of Directors' Responsibilities in Respect of the Audited Financial Statements
- 39 Directors' Remuneration Report
- 41 Report of the Audit Committee

Independent Auditor's Report

- 46 Independent Auditor's Report to the Members of Third Point Investors Limited

Financial Statements

- 54 Statement of Assets and Liabilities
- 55 Statement of Operations
- 56 Statement of Changes in Net Assets
- 57 Statement of Cash Flows
- 58 Notes to the Audited Financial Statements

Additional Information

- 68 Investor Information
- 69 Management and Administration
- 70 Glossary
- 72 Notice of Annual General Meeting
- 75 Legal Information

Historical Performance

As at 31 December 2022

Annualised Historical Performance (%)

	1 Year	3 Year	5 Year	10 Year	Since TPIL Inception	Since TP Offshore Inception
Third Point Investors Ltd (NAV)	-24.4	5.0	4.7	7.9	7.5	N/A
Third Point Investors Ltd (Price)	-25.5	8.3	4.6	8.5	6.9	N/A
Third Point Offshore Fund Ltd (Master Fund)	-21.9	4.5	3.4	6.8	7.3	13.3
S&P 500 Index	-18.1	7.7	9.4	12.6	8.4	8.4
MSCI World Index	-17.7	5.5	6.7	9.5	5.8	6.9

Net Asset Value Total Return (%)



Chart rebased to 100 and plotted since inception of TPIL — TPIL NAV — S&P 500 Index — MSCI World Index

Financial Highlights

As at 31 December 2022

Net Asset Value per Share

-24.4%

2022: \$24.46

2021: \$32.37


Share Price

-25.5%


2022: \$20.70

2021: \$27.80


Net Asset Value per Share over 5 Years (\$)




Share Price over 5 Years (\$)



Discount to NAV over 5 Years (\$,%)



Share Buybacks over 5 Years (\$mn)



Chairman's Statement



Dear Shareholder,

2022 will be remembered as a year when almost all asset classes performed poorly, suffering significant losses in most sectors. TPIL was not alone. During 2022, TPIL returned -24.4% on a NAV total return basis and -25.5% on a share price basis. This compares with a -17.7% return for the MSCI World Index and -18.1% for the S&P 500 Index and -33.0% for the technology-heavy NASDAQ Index. Over the past three years TPIL has achieved annualised share price total returns of +8.3% (+5% NAV) versus +5.5% and +7.7% for the MSCI World and S&P 500 indices respectively.

Rising, and more persistent, inflation together with adverse geopolitical events have been the dominant themes during most of 2022. The hardening resolve of central banks to use restrictive monetary policy to contain inflation has given way to occasional market exuberance that each rise in interest rates heralds optimism that inflation is at or near its peak. While economic indicators generally do not yet evidence a U.S. recession, avoiding one is looking increasingly tenuous as the U.S. Federal Reserve responds aggressively to a robust labour market.

Portfolio Drivers

During 2022, Long Equity was the largest source of detractor with -22.7% gross contribution to return. This was offset by a positive contribution of Short Equity generating a return of +6.5%, netting

-16.2% for all equity. Within the Long Equity exposures, Fundamental and Event Driven equity made up -19.6% of the -22.7%, with the -3.1% balance being attributed to Activist positions.

The Company's exposure to Corporate and Structured Credit performed in line with the indices, and detracted a combined -2.5% at the portfolio level. Pre-IPO Privates contributed a further -3.3% return at the portfolio level, with the aggregate venture portfolio being marked down by 35% in line with the NASDAQ.

Having entered 2022 with an above average net equity exposure of 67%, two key factors contributed to TPIL's underperformance in 2022. Firstly, the Investment Manager underestimated both the impact of rising and increasingly persistent inflation. Secondly, the contagion from earnings multiple contraction from the unprofitable tech spread more broadly to higher quality and other high multiple names. Over half of the fund losses for the year were incurred in Q1 2022. In a similar vein, the stocks such as SentinelOne, Intuit and Upstart – which had contributed to 20%+ gains in FY 2021 – were the very same that hurt the Company most in Q1 2022, with additional drawdown contributions from Amazon and Disney.

To put this in context, while pre-IPO and post-IPO Privates cost the Company almost 10% in 2022, they have contributed almost 30% over the past three years.

As the year progressed, the portfolio evolved to more of a capital preservation mode with net equity exposure falling at its lows to around 25%, focussing on long positions in more defensive names notably in the energy sector, while upsizing short exposure to growth and tech-oriented sectors. During the second half, increased focus on long equity in event and activist themed positions, such as Colgate-Palmolive & Bath & Body Works, were major contributors, and were positively augmented by aggressive hedging against interest rate exposure.

Discount Management

Despite the Board's continued efforts to narrow the discount during the year with the ongoing buyback programme and an exchange offer for 25% of outstanding shares, the discount ended the year on 15.4% vs 14.1% at end 2021. While the discount ended the year 1.4% wider than where it began, this should be seen in the context of a significant widening of discounts in the Investment Trust sector generally, which fell on average from -0.3% to -9.0% on a market-cap weighted basis.

During 2022 the Company bought back over 2 million shares, equivalent to \$53 million in value, which was accretive by 27 cents per share. Since 2018, when the latest buyback programme was initiated, the Company has bought back just under 14 million shares with a value of more than \$264 million, or an accretion of

\$1.69 per share. In September 2022, the Board announced that it would continue its previously announced buyback programme, allocating a further \$50 million to buybacks in the period to September 2023. At the time of publication, approximately \$29 million was remaining of this tranche.

The Company also executed an exchange offer for shares in the Company during the year into the Third Point Master Fund at a discount of 2% to NAV. The offer was fully subscribed at the limit of \$75 million. The Board would like to remind shareholders that two further tenders will be held in March 2024 and March 2027. The tenders will each be for 25% of outstanding shares at a discount of 2% if the discount is wider than 10% and 7.5% respectively, in the six months prior to the tender submission notification date.

Board

Following a series of requisitions by certain shareholders in 2021 and early 2022, the Board welcomed two new independent directors in March 2022, further augmenting its independence and capability. We are delighted to benefit from Richard Boleat and Vivien Gould's deep experience on the Board. They chair the Management Engagement Committee and Remuneration & Nomination Committees, respectively.

The Master Fund in which the Company invests is tilting towards more concentrated investment exposures where its traditional strengths lie, focussing on classic value, event driven and activism strategies.

Outlook

Following a bruising year in 2022, the Master Fund in which the Company invests is tilting towards more concentrated investment exposures where its traditional strengths lie, focussing on classic value, event driven and activism strategies, characterised by catalysts within investee companies, or where events are initiated by the Investment Manager. Activism exposure has risen from approximately 15% to almost 40% of gross equity exposure over the financial year.

Corporate and Structured Credit have been traditional strengths of the Company. During the current financial year, the rising interest rate environment has induced

greater volatility in consumer-facing structured credit, permitting a move up the capital structure where the Company can benefit from greater credit support and higher yields as well as offering more attractive risk adjusted returns, even under adverse scenarios. In Corporate Credit, refinancings at higher rates and tighter credit conditions will likely push spreads wider in what could be a period of credit dislocation, creating attractive investment opportunities for the Company, especially in the wake of a period of net negative supply in high yield.

While 2022 was extremely disappointing from a performance perspective, the Investment Manager is encouraged that the opportunity

set currently presented is highly attractive for capitalising on its many strategies employed in its security selection and in specialist credit.

It is notable that the Investment Manager's longevity and ability to bounce back strongly after significant drawdowns is a defining characteristic, and we believe that the current investment landscape will offer the opportunity to earn strong returns in the years ahead.

Rupert Dorey

25 April 2023

PORTFOLIO



Investment Manager’s Review

Very few corners of the market were spared from this liquidity pullback – 2022 was one of only a handful of calendar years on record when both equities and bonds logged negative annual returns.

Strategy Performance

As stated in the Chairman’s Statement, for the twelve months ended 31 December 2022, Third Point Investors Limited’s net asset value (“NAV”) per share decreased by 24.4%, while the corresponding share price fell 25.5%. This compares with the MSCI World Index and S&P 500 Index returns of -17.7% and -18.1%, respectively. The Company’s share price return included the effects of the discount to NAV widening slightly from -14.1% to -15.4%.


2022 looks to have been the start of a painful transition from a long period of accommodative monetary policy to one characterised by a tighter grip. The sustained reverberations of the pandemic, paired with the conflict in Ukraine, conspired to push inflation to 40-year highs, precipitating a forceful response from global central banks. Very few corners of the market were spared from this liquidity pullback – 2022 was one of only a handful of calendar years on record when both

equities and bonds logged negative annual returns. Hardest hit, though, was anything with duration, including longer dated fixed income and any security promising future growth at the expense of current profitability. Adding to the disorientation were the month-to-month convulsions – despite being down more than 18% for the full year, the S&P 500 saw three intra-year rallies of more than 10% driven by the hope of a “pivot” from the U.S. Federal Reserve. Each of these rallies eventually fizzled out as the Fed reaffirmed its hawkishness.


While it was exceedingly difficult to navigate this volatility, Third Point’s full year performance is nonetheless disappointing. Long Equity was the largest source of detractor (-22.7% gross contribution to return for Master Fund, Fig. 1 below), and the vast majority of these losses occurred in Third Point’s Fundamental & Event Equity portfolio as opposed to its Activism

Figure 1: Attribution by Strategy (%)

Gross Attribution 2022



Return on Invested Capital 2022



Note: All information is as at 31/12/2022 and relates to the Third Point Offshore Master Fund L.P. Gross returns are shown before deducting all expenses, management fees, and incentive fees, as applicable. Please see the important notes and disclaimers at the end of this document.

positions. Losses in the long book were offset to a smaller degree by Short Equity Positions (+6.5% gross contribution to return). Elsewhere, both Corporate & Sovereign Credit and Structured Credit (-2.5% gross contribution to return combined) were smaller sources of losses, driven by the move in both interest rates and credit spreads in high yield and asset-backed securities. Finally, the growth-oriented Privates portfolio (-3.3% gross contribution to return) was marked down approximately 35% in aggregate during the year, roughly in line with the performance of the NASDAQ index. We discuss 2022 performance in more detail below.

2022 Performance Review

Equities

In retrospect, the principal source of underperformance during 2022 was entering the year with an elevated net long exposure in Equities (Fig. 2 below).

Third Point started to reduce exposures to equities in Q4 2021 when it became clear that inflation was becoming more persistent than expected. Ultimately, however, the firm underestimated how broadly multiple contraction would spread from the most vulnerable ends of the


market – especially hyper-growth and unprofitable technology – to profitable technology and higher quality (albeit higher multiple) names. As a result, about half of the Master Fund’s losses for the full year of 2022 were experienced in Q1 2022. And the symmetry stands out: the same names that helped Third Point deliver 20%+ returns in 2021 ended up being the largest sources of detractor, both for Q1 2022 and for the full year (Fig. 3 below).

Most of those losses were crystallized early in the year as part of a pivot towards a more defensive portfolio. Third Point exited Upstart in January after having trimmed substantially in Q4 2021. Even as it represented a top Q1 2022 detractor, that investment contributed 15.6% gross to fund returns since its inception as a Series C private investment in 2015. The Investment Manager also exited Rivian, another recent IPO, as well as positions in more mature technology companies such as Intuit and Amazon.

After these exits in Q1 2022, SentinelOne in many ways became the last outpost of growth in the portfolio. Third Point believed that, especially in a world beset by geopolitical turmoil, cybersecurity

In retrospect, the principal source of underperformance during 2022 was entering the year with an elevated net long exposure in Equities.

Figure 2: Gross and Net Equity Exposure (%)¹



¹ All information is at 31/12/2022. Exposures are reflected as the average exposure during each month.

Figure 3: Contributors/Detractors

Top Contributors: Full Year 2021

Position	Description
Upstart	AI-enabled lending platform
SentinelOne	AI-enabled cybersecurity
Intuit	Consumer and business software
Danaher	Life science tools/diagnostics
Rivian	Electric vehicle manufacturer

Top Detractors: Q1 2022

Position	Description
SentinelOne	AI-enabled cybersecurity
Intuit	Consumer and business software
Upstart	AI-enabled lending platform
Rivian	Electric vehicle manufacturer
Richemont	luxury goods

Top Detractors: Full Year 2022

Position	Description
SentinelOne	AI-enabled cybersecurity
Intuit	Consumer and business software
Amazon	e-commerce
Rivian	Electric vehicle manufacturer
Disney	Media & entertainment

Note: All information relates to the Third Point Offshore Master Fund L.P. Reflects gross returns before deducting all expenses, management fees, and incentive allocations, as applicable.

Value-oriented long equity positions and Event/Activist positions worked well in the back half of the year. Pacific Gas & Electric was the top YTD contributor, with the stock gaining more than 60% in 2H 2022.


would be less prone to governmental and corporate belt tightening. But the magnitude of multiple compression and weakness in enterprise IT spend combined to drag the stock further down for the balance of the year. As a result, the cybersecurity company, the second largest contributor in 2021, was the single largest detractor in 2022. Like Upstart, it has still been a very successful investment since its inception as a private company, contributing 2.2% gross to fund returns. While the Investment Manager still believes in its ultimate trajectory, it trimmed the position and implemented a hedge basket to isolate the idiosyncratic risk.

In the wake of this market pivot, which was exacerbated by Russia's invasion of Ukraine, Third Point continued to shift the portfolio into capital preservation mode, while at the same time allocating more capital to potential beneficiaries of inflation.

The fund prioritized more defensive long positions, in addition to sizing up its short equity book (especially in more growth-oriented names in enterprise technology and media & internet) and increasing its exposure to energy (Fig. 4 below).

These changes helped the Master Fund weather subsequent downdrafts during the year, with one notable exception coming in June 2022, when fears of a looming recession weighed on commodity prices and hurt energy stocks. As a result of these portfolio changes, the corollary was that Third Point did not match the market when fits of optimism materialized in the beginning of Q3 and first 10 weeks of Q4 (Fig 5 below).

Value-oriented long equity positions and Event/Activist positions worked well in the back half of the year. Pacific Gas & Electric was the top

Figure 4: Equity Sector Net Exposure (%)¹

Note: All information is at 31/12/2022 and relates to the Third Point Offshore Master Fund L.P.

¹ Reflects net equity exposure as a percentage of NAV. Total net equity exposure as a percentage of NAV, including portfolio hedges, was 67.2% as at 31 December 2021, 27.6% as at 30 June 2022, and 41.5% as at 31 December 2022.

YTD contributor, with the stock gaining more than 60% in 2H 2022. New activist positions in Colgate-Palmolive and Bath & Body Works both were top contributors in Q4, as well as the Investment Manager's merger arbitrage position in Twitter. Shell, an activist position established in 2021, was a beneficiary in 2022 of inflation/energy prices as well as its ability to increase shareholder return through share repurchases.

Privates

The same valuation rationalization that affected Third Point's public equity portfolio in Q1 2022 also weighed down its privates portfolio, which is marked to market at least quarterly referencing the attributes of each company as well as public market comparable companies. Individual detractors were led by later stage companies in enterprise technology where valuation risk

Figure 5: Performance Comparison (%)

2022	S&P 500	MSCI World	TPIL NAV	TPIL Price
January	-5.2	-5.3	-8.9	-12.9
February	-3.0	-2.5	-3.2	5.8
March	3.7	2.8	-1.8	-2.3
April	-8.7	-8.3	-1.6	-2.8
May	0.2	0.1	-1.8	-0.8
June	-8.3	-8.6	-7.7	-5.4
July	9.2	8.0	-0.5	-7.5
August	-4.1	-4.1	-0.2	1.7
September	-9.2	-9.3	-3.1	-6.8
October	8.1	7.2	2.8	5.3
November	5.6	7.0	-0.7	-3.3
December	-5.8	-4.2	-0.4	1.7

Figure 6: Private Return Contribution (%)

2022	2019	2020	2021	2022
% NAV Attribution Offshore (Pre-IPO)	1.0	2.7	4.2	-3.3
% NAV Attribution Offshore (Post-IPO)	N/A	2.5	19.5	-6.3
% NAV Attribution Offshore (Total)	1.0	5.2	23.7	-9.6

All information relates to the Third Point Offshore Master Fund L.P. Reflects gross returns before deducting all expenses, management fees, and incentive allocations, as applicable. Please see the important notes and disclaimers at the end of this document.
Pre-IPO reflects gross returns on private portfolio positions before a public listing. Post-IPO reflects gross returns on private portfolio positions after a public listing.

was more pronounced. In addition, the fund experienced write-offs and markdowns in the modest exposure to crypto-related private investments. To put these losses in context, from 2019 to 2021, privates, represented by both pre-IPO positions and those held through a public listing, contributed almost 30 percentage points to return (Fig. 6 above). In 2022, the Master Fund gave just under a third of that gain back.

Corporate & Sovereign Credit/ Structured Credit

In one of the worst years on record for credit markets, with the J.P. Morgan High Yield Index returning -11% and the J.P. Morgan Investment Grade Index returning -15%, Third Point's Corporate Credit portfolio returned approximately -12%, representing a -1.1% gross contribution to Master Fund returns. While disappointing on an absolute level, the firm kept its exposures relatively contained throughout the year, limiting the damage on Third Point's aggregate portfolio. The largest individual source of detraction for the year was the firm's position in aerospace company Boeing. The underperformance was a combination of its longer duration and the delayed delivery of its 737 aircraft. Investments in the bonds of cruise lines, which bounced back alongside the spending habits of vacationers, were well timed and offset some of these losses.

Third Point's Structured Credit portfolio, which was evenly split between residential mortgage-

backed securities and other consumer-related asset-backed securities, returned -5% for the full year, representing a -1.4% gross contribution to Master Fund return. Like virtually all other markets, the Fed's efforts to combat inflation brought the same unease and volatility to the structured credit markets. The portfolio's residential mortgage-backed exposure was most affected by this mark-to-market, led by rising rates, wider credit spreads and a scenario shift pointing to a higher probability of recession. Despite the increased uncertainty, homeowners and consumers have continued to pay down the underlying loans in these asset-backed securities, and Third Point chose to maintain an elevated allocation to the asset class despite the headwinds it faced in 2022.

Outlook

We entered 2023 with more constructive trends in geopolitics and macroeconomics. Europe appeared to have sidestepped the worst fears related to the Ukraine war, high energy prices, and recession, leading to strong performance in equity markets. China accepted the course of herd immunity and is already showing signs of strength in its reopening, leading to expectations of significant pent-up demand for luxury goods and commodities. China seems to understand that restoring economic strength is central to its political ambitions, but any enthusiasm there must be tempered by realism about geopolitical risks.

This prevailing sense of optimism was of course tempered in March by convulsions in the banking sector, starting with several regional banks in the U.S. and moving on to Credit Suisse. While regulators swiftly stepped in to fortify confidence in the system, at the very least, this reckoning will further constrict lending activity as the cost of capital continues to rise. This will weigh on economic growth at a fragile time, but will also assist central bankers in their efforts to dampen down inflation.

This continued push and pull between monetary policy and the real economy will likely yield uneven results in the near term. However, the Investment Manager's earnings outlook for 2024 is more favourable, and it believes conditions are now ripe for many types of **event-driven and activist** investing. The stock market decline has created attractive valuations for many high quality companies, while Covid created aberrations in growth and a reluctance to let go of under-performing business units or bloated cost structures. To the extent companies have not addressed these issues themselves or have been slow to react, engaged shareholders


have an opportunity to encourage more efficient operations and capital allocation. This opportunity is the driving force behind the increasing exposure to activism and event-driven names in the Third Point portfolio (Fig. 7 below). The firm used market weakness in Q4 2022 to bring up its exposures, initiate several new positions, and add to others that traded to attractive levels. In addition to activism, the Investment Manager is focusing on companies making significant share repurchases, planning to unlock value via a spin-off, or improving a muddled narrative after being born out of bankruptcy.

Third Point's **credit** portfolio remains positioned based on the fundamental view that the consumer was (and remains) in good shape. The huge increase in housing prices over the last few years combined with mortgage amortization provides significant credit support to the residential mortgage market. By contrast, corporate debt levels are high. As a result, the Investment Manager's structured credit exposure is at a relatively high level while its corporate exposure is much lower. Third Point has continued to hedge most of the interest rate risk in both credit portfolios.

The stock market decline has created attractive valuations for many high quality companies, while Covid created aberrations in growth and a reluctance to let go of under-performing business units or bloated cost structures.


Figure 7: Activism Back in Focus

Total Gross Equity Exposure in Activism (%)



Note: All information is as at 31/12/2022 and relates to the Third Point Offshore Master Fund L.P. Exposures are reflected as the average exposure during each month.

Figure 8. Sizing up Credit

Credit Long Exposure (%)¹

¹ All information is at 31/12/2022 and relates to the Third Point Offshore Master Fund L.P. Exposures are reflected as the average exposure during each month.

Corporate credit spreads appeared tight at the start of 2023 given the uncertain near-term outlook. The U.S. Federal Reserve may be close to finishing rate increases, but Third Point expects increasing stresses in the credit markets as the impact of higher interest rates cycles through the system, something we saw most acutely in the banking sector in the first quarter of 2023. Investment and business models predicated on cheap money are no longer economic, and Third Point expects an increasing number of opportunities to bubble up in public markets as this dynamic shifts. Third Point has a history of deploying capital to credit quickly during times of dislocation (Fig. 8 above), and for now, the firm is keeping cash available to invest if circumstances dictate.

Meanwhile, based on the mark-to-market of 2022, the structured credit portfolio's current yield has risen to approximately 18%. The portfolio is split roughly 50/50 between residential mortgage-backed securities and consumer asset-backed securities. The largest source of detractor from performance in 2022 was the reperforming mortgage

portfolio. However it remains one of the most compelling parts of the portfolio and represents risk that cannot be re-created today given the historically tight financing costs Third Point secured when structuring these securitizations in 2021. Third Point believes this reperforming mortgage exposure, coupled with short duration consumer ABS and senior mortgage tranches, represents a strong potential risk-adjusted return profile with the ability to re-invest proceeds in a higher rate environment. As such, the firm has been actively trading the portfolio, with a focus on reducing subordinate risk and re-investing capital into higher yielding senior exposure.

Finally, in **privates**, Third Point believes the latest venture market reset is a healthy one. The opportunities being created by accelerating technological change are large and not receding. What has begun to recalibrate is the investing environment, and this is finally being expressed in valuations. This dynamic presents opportunity: the firm would rather be active in a market where enterprise software trades at 7x revenue multiples compared to 100x,

Figure 9: Opportunity in Volatility

Largest Historical Drawdowns

2022	Drawdown Statistics ¹					
	June 2008- March 2009	Oct. 2021- Present	Jan. 2020- March 2020	Jan. 2018- Dec. 2018	May 2002- Oct. 2002	March 1998- Sept. 1998
Drawdown	-35.5%	-29.0%	-17.2%	-14.6%	-14.3%	-11.4%
Trough Date	31/03/2009	TBD	31/03/2020	31/12/2018	31/10/2002	30/09/1998
Recovery Date ²	31/03/2010	TBD	31/08/2020	31/01/2020	31/07/2003	31/01/1999
Recovery Months ³	12	TBD	5	13	9	4
1 Year After Trough	64.2%	TBD	58.3%	17.0%	37.8%	34.4%
2 Years After Trough	106.6%	TBD	53.9%	39.5%	79.9%	78.5%

Note: All information is at 31/12/2022. Past performance is not necessarily indicative of future results.

¹ Returns represented by Third Point Offshore Fund, Ltd.

² Reflects the initial date when Third Point Offshore Fund, Ltd. recoups the amount of the initial investment for each drawdown period.

³ Reflects number of months from trough of drawdown to recovery date.

even if that change has required it to adjust marks on some portfolio companies.

Although performance for 2022 was disappointing, Third Point is encouraged by the current backdrop for security and asset class selection. The firm is now approaching three decades in business, and its longevity can be attributed to its ability to use the many strategies it employs to find optimal risk/reward in changing markets. This quality has historically presented

opportunities for Third Point to adapt and to come back stronger after periods of tumult. In each case during its largest drawdowns since inception, Third Point has gone on to deliver strong returns in the one and two years after navigating through periods of difficult performance (Fig. 9 above). The Investment Manager remains optimistic that the current environment presents a compelling backdrop for an event-driven approach, which is its core competency and the foundation upon which the firm was built.

Third Point LLC

Portfolio Analysis

As at 31 December 2022

Portfolio Detail ¹	Exposure		Net
	Long	Short	
Equity			
Activism/Constructivism	23.5%	-8.4%	15.1%
Fundamental & Event	43.9%	-17.0%	26.8%
Portfolio Hedges ³	0.2%	-0.7%	-0.5%
Total Equity	67.6%	-26.1%	41.5%
Credit			
Corporate & Sovereign	14.3%	-0.5%	13.7%
Structured	26.5%	-0.1%	26.5%
Total Credit	40.8%	-0.6%	40.2%
Privates			
Side Pocket Privates	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other ²	0.0%	-0.3%	-0.2%
Total Portfolio	116.7%	-27.0%	89.8%

Equity Portfolio Detail ¹	Exposure		Net
	Long	Short	
Equity Sectors			
Consumer Discretionary	10.2%	-4.4%	5.8%
Consumer Staples	10.6%	-4.2%	6.3%
Utilities	9.0%	0.0%	9.0%
Energy	4.7%	-0.4%	4.3%
Financials	9.1%	-3.4%	5.7%
Healthcare	9.4%	-4.0%	5.4%
Industrials & Materials	7.2%	-2.6%	4.6%
Enterprise Technology	4.4%	-3.1%	1.3%
Media & Internet	2.7%	-3.2%	-0.5%
Portfolio Hedges ³	0.2%	-0.7%	-0.5%
Total	67.6%	-26.1%	41.5%

¹ Unless otherwise stated, information relates to the Third Point Offshore Master Fund L.P. Exposures are categorized in a manner consistent with the Investment Manager's classifications for portfolio and risk management purposes.

² Includes currency hedges and macro investments. Rates and FX related investments are excluded from the exposure figures.

³ Primarily broad-based market and equity-based hedges.

Net equity exposure is defined as the long exposure minus the short exposure of all equity positions (including long/short, arbitrage, and other strategies), and can serve as a rough measure of the exposure to fluctuations in overall market levels. The Investment Manager continues to closely monitor the liquidity of the portfolio and is comfortable that the current composition is aligned with the redemption terms available to the Company by virtue of its holding of Class YSP shares.

Investment Team



Daniel S. Loeb
CEO & CIO

Daniel S. Loeb is CEO of Third Point LLC, founded in 1995. Daniel has served on five publicly traded company boards: Ligand Pharmaceuticals; POGO Producing Co.; Massey Energy; Yahoo!; and Sotheby's. Daniel's philanthropic activities are driven by principles of individual human rights, including fighting against inequality and discrimination and for policies that lead to greater economic opportunity for all. Daniel graduated from Columbia University with an A.B. in economics in 1983, endowed the Daniel S. Loeb Scholarship for undergraduate study there, and received the school's John Jay Award for distinguished professional achievement. In October 2020, he was awarded the Alexander Hamilton Award for his philanthropic service by the Manhattan Institute.



Ian Wallace
Partner & Head of Credit

Ian Wallace joined Third Point in 2009. Prior to joining Third Point, Ian was the Managing Member of River Run Management, LLC, which he founded in 1999. River Run was a hedge fund focused on high yield and distressed investments and the firm shared office space with and partnered with Third Point on many successful distressed investments from 2000-2004. From 1989 to 1998, Ian was a Managing Director with Oak Hill, an affiliate of the Robert M. Bass Group. Prior to Oak Hill, Ian was a Vice President in the High-Yield Research group at First Boston, and a staff accountant at Arthur Andersen & Co. Ian graduated from the University of Washington with a B.A. in Business Administration.



Shalini Sriram
Managing Director & Head of Structured Credit

Shalini Sriram is the Head of Structured Credit at Third Point and sits on the firm's risk committee, overseeing a range of investments from residential and commercial mortgage backed securities to the intersection of consumer finance and technology. Prior to joining Third Point in 2017, Shalini invested in structured credit at Scoggin Capital. From 2006 to 2012, Shalini was an Executive Director at Morgan Stanley, and Head of ABS CDO and RMBS trading. From 2002 to 2006, Shalini was an associate at Banc of America Securities on a proprietary ABS trading desk where she first structured and then traded CDOs. Shalini received a B.A. in Economics cum laude in three years from Wellesley College and an MBA from Columbia Business School.



GOVERNANCE



Directors



Rupert Dorey (Chairman)

Rupert is a Guernsey resident and has over 35 years of experience in financial markets. Rupert was at CSFB for 17 years from 1988 to 2005 where he specialised in credit related products, including derivative instruments where his expertise was principally in the areas of debt distribution, origination and trading, covering all types of debt from investment grade to high yield and distressed debt. He held a number of positions at CSFB, including establishing CSFB's high yield debt distribution business in Europe, fixed income credit product coordinator for European offices and head of UK Credit and Rates Sales. Since 2005 he has been acting in a non-executive directorship capacity for a number of Hedge Funds, Private Equity & Infrastructure Funds, for both listed and unlisted vehicles. He is former President of the Guernsey Chamber of Commerce and is a member of the Institute of Directors. Rupert has extensive experience as both Director and Chairman of exchange listed and unlisted funds. He has served on boards with 18 different managers, including Apollo, Aviva, Cinven, CQS, M&G, Partners Group.

Directorships in other public listed companies:

NB Global Monthly Income Fund Limited (London Stock Exchange).



Richard Boléat

Richard Boléat is a Jersey resident and is a Fellow of the Institute of Chartered Accountants in England & Wales, having trained with Coopers & Lybrand in Jersey and the United Kingdom. Richard led Capita Group plc's financial services client practice in Jersey until September 2007, when he left to establish Governance Partners, L.P., an independent corporate governance practice. He currently also acts as chairman of CVC Credit Partners European Opportunities Limited and audit committee chairman of M&G Credit Income Investment Trust plc, both of which are listed on the London Stock Exchange, along with a number of other substantial collective investment and investment management entities established in Jersey, the Cayman Islands and Luxembourg. He is regulated in his personal capacity by the Jersey Financial Services commission.

Directorships in other public listed companies:

CVC Credit Partners European Opportunities Limited, M&G Credit Income Investment Trust plc (both London Stock Exchange).



Huw Evans

Huw Evans qualified as a Chartered Accountant with KPMG (then Peat Marwick Mitchell) in 1983. He subsequently worked for three years in the Corporate Finance department of Schroders before joining Phoenix Securities Limited in 1986. Over the next twelve years he advised a wide range of companies in financial services and other sectors on mergers and acquisitions and more general corporate strategy. Since moving to Guernsey in 2005, he acted as a professional non-executive Director of a number of Guernsey-based companies and funds and is currently chair of VinaCapital Vietnam Opportunity Fund Limited. He holds an MA in Biochemistry from Cambridge University. He moved back to the UK in 2023 and is now UK resident.

Directorships in other public listed companies:

VinaCapital Vietnam Opportunity Fund Limited (London Stock Exchange).



Vivien Gould

Vivien Gould is a UK resident and the Senior Independent Director at The Lindsell Train Investment Trust PLC and a non-executive director of Barings Emerging EMEA Opportunities PLC, Schroder AsiaPacific Fund plc and National Philanthropic Trust UK. She has worked in the financial services sector since 1981. She was a founder director of River & Mercantile Investment Management Limited (1985) and served as a senior executive and Deputy Managing Director with the Group until 1994. She then worked as an independent consultant and served on the boards of a number of investment management companies, listed investment trusts, other financial companies and charitable trusts.

Directorships in other public listed companies:

The Lindsell Train Investment Trust PLC, Barings Emerging EMEA Opportunities PLC, Schroder AsiaPacific Fund plc, (all London Stock Exchange).



Joshua L. Targoff

Joshua L. Targoff is a US resident and has been the Chief Operating Officer of the Investment Manager since May 2009. He joined as General Counsel in May 2008. Previously, Joshua was the General Counsel of the Investment Banking Division of Jefferies & Co. Joshua spent seven years doing M & A transactional work at Debevoise & Plimpton LLP. Joshua graduated with a J.D. from Yale Law School, and holds a B.A. from Brown University. In 2012, Joshua was made a Partner of the Investment Manager.



Claire Whittet

Claire is a Guernsey resident with over 40 years' experience in banking and finance. She started her career with Bank of Scotland in lending and corporate finance and on moving to Guernsey joined Bank of Bermuda becoming Global Head of Private Client Credit. In 2003, she joined Rothschild and Company Bank International as Director of Lending and was latterly Managing Director and Co-Head before becoming a Non-Executive Director in 2016. She is an experienced Non-Executive Director of listed and PE funds.

Directorships in other public listed companies:

BH Macro Limited, Riverstone Energy Limited, TwentyFour Select Monthly Income Fund Limited (all London Stock Exchange), Eurocastle Investment Limited (Euronext).

A number of the directors are also Non-Executive Directors of other listed funds. The Board notes that none of these funds are trading companies and confirms that all Non-Executive Directors of the Company have sufficient time and commitment, as evidenced by their attendance and participation at meetings, to devote to this Company.

Strategic Report

The Directors submit their Annual Report, together with the Statement of Assets and Liabilities, Statement of Operations, Statement of Changes in Net Assets, Statement of Cash Flows and the related notes of Third Point Investors Limited (the “Company”) for the year ended 31 December 2022 (“Audited Financial Statements”).

These Audited Financial Statements have been properly prepared, in accordance with applicable Guernsey law and accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, and are in agreement with the accounting records.

The Company

The Company was incorporated in Guernsey on 19 June 2007 as an authorised closed-ended investment scheme and was admitted to a secondary listing (Chapter 14) on the Official List of the London Stock Exchange (“LSE”) on 23 July 2007. The proceeds from the initial issue of Ordinary Shares on listing amounted to approximately US\$523 million. The Company was admitted to the Premium Official List Segment (“Premium Listing”) of the LSE on 10 September 2018.

The Ordinary Shares of the Company are quoted on the LSE in two currencies, US Dollars and Pounds Sterling.

The Company is a member of the Association of Investment Companies (“AIC”).

Third Point Offshore Independent Voting Company Limited

At the time of its listing, the Company adopted a share structure which was common at that time, to mitigate the risk of the Company losing its status as a “foreign private issuer” under US securities laws.

The Company has two classes of shares in issue: (i) Ordinary Shares which have economic and voting rights and (ii) Class B Shares which have only voting rights. The Company’s articles of incorporation provide that the number of Class B Shares in issue shall be equal to 40 per cent. of the aggregate number of Ordinary Shares and Class B Shares in issue. Consequently, holders of Ordinary Shares can exercise 60 per cent., and holders of Class B Shares can exercise 40 per cent., of the voting power at general meetings of the Company.

The Class B Shares are held by Third Point Offshore Independent Voting Company Limited (“VoteCo”). VoteCo has its own Board of Directors and is completely

independent of the Company and Third Point. The Board of VoteCo is governed by VoteCo’s Memorandum and Articles of Incorporation which provide that the votes attaching to the Class B Shares shall be exercised after taking into consideration the best interests of the Company’s shareholders as a whole.

VoteCo is specifically excluded from voting from any of the twelve Listing Rules Specified Matters, being those matters in relation to which the Listing Rules require a resolution to be passed only by holders of listed shares, the most notable of which are:

- any proposal to make a material change to the investment policy
- any proposal to approve the entry into a related party transaction
- the annual re-election of any non-independent director

At the time of the Company’s listing, it entered into a Support and Custody Agreement with VoteCo under which VoteCo agreed to hold the Class B Shares as custodian for the Ordinary Shareholders and the Company agreed to reimburse VoteCo for its running expenses.

Investment Objective and Policy

The Company’s investment objective is to provide its Shareholders with consistent long term capital appreciation utilising the investment skills of Third Point LLC (the “Investment Manager”, “Manager”, or “Firm”). All of the Company’s capital (net of short term working capital requirements) is invested in shares of Third Point Offshore Fund, Ltd (the “Master Fund”), an exempted company formed under the laws of the Cayman Islands on 21 October 1996.

The Master Fund is a limited partner of Third Point Offshore Master Fund L.P. (the “Master Partnership”), an exempted limited partnership under the laws of the Cayman Islands, of which Third Point Advisors II L.L.C., an affiliate of the Investment Manager, is the general partner. Third Point LLC is the Investment Manager to the Company, the Master Fund and the Master Partnership.

The Master Fund and the Master Partnership have the same investment objectives, investment strategies and investment restrictions.

The Master Fund and Master Partnership's investment objective is to seek to generate consistent long-term capital appreciation, by investing capital in securities and other instruments in select asset classes, sectors, and geographies, by taking long and short positions. The Investment Manager's implementation of the Master Fund and Master Partnership's investment policies is the main driver of the Company's performance. The Audited Financial Statements of the Master Fund and the Audited Financial Statements of the Master Partnership, should be read alongside the Company's Audited Financial Statements, but do not form part of them.

The Investment Manager identifies opportunities by combining a fundamental approach to single security analysis with a reasoned view on global, political and economic events that shapes portfolio construction and drives risk management.

The Investment Manager seeks to take advantage of market and economic dislocations and supplements its analysis with considerations of managing overall exposures across specific asset classes, sectors, and geographies by evaluating sizing, concentration, risk, and beta, among other factors. The resulting portfolio expresses the Investment Manager's best ideas for generating alpha and its tolerance for risk given global market conditions. The Investment Manager is opportunistic and often seeks a catalyst that will unlock value or alter the lens through which the broad market values a particular investment. The Investment Manager applies aspects of this framework to its decision-making process, and this approach informs the timing of each investment and its associated risk.

At the beginning of the year, the Company had substantially all of its holding in the Master Fund in share Class Y. This share class attracted a management fee of 1.50% and the Company also qualified for an additional reduction in the management fee applicable to it based on its size and longevity as an investor in the Master Fund. As a result, the Company has paid a management fee of 1.25% per annum. The Class Y share class is subject to a 25% quarterly investor level redemption gate. With effect from 1 January 2022, the Company elected to participate in side pocket investments within the Master Fund and, from that date, the Class Y shares held by the Company were designated as Class YSP shares.

Any Ordinary Shares bought for the Company's account (e.g. as part of the buyback programme) traded mid-month will be purchased and held by the Master Partnership until the Company is able to cancel the shares following each month-end. Shares cannot be cancelled intra-month because of legal and logistical factors. The Company and

the Master Partnership do not intend to hold any shares longer than the minimum required to comply with these factors, expected to be no more than one month.

Results and Share Buybacks

The results for the year are set out in the Statement of Operations.

In September 2019, the Board announced the implementation of a share buyback programme worth \$200 million, with share purchases being made through the market at prices below the prevailing NAV per share. The scale of the buyback was designed to reduce the discount to net asset value, contain discount volatility and provide liquidity to the market. Meanwhile, the Company's returns are bolstered by the accretion to NAV from buybacks. The buyback programme was extended in September 2022 with the order of a further \$50 million allocated to buybacks in the subsequent 12 months.

In the year from 1 January 2022 to 31 December 2022, the total number of shares which were bought back was 2,331,574, with an approximate value of \$53 million. The average discount at which purchases were made was 12.6%. The buybacks effected during the year led to an accretion to NAV per share of 27 cents.

Key performance indicators ("KPI's")

At each Board meeting, the Board considers a number of performance measures to assess the Company's success in achieving its objectives. The KPI's which have been identified by the Board for determining the progress of the Company are:

- Net Asset Value (NAV);
- Discount to the NAV;
- Share price; and
- Ongoing charges.

Viability Statement

In accordance with principle 31 of the UK Corporate Governance Code, published by the Financial Reporting Council in July 2018 ("The Code"), the Directors have assessed the prospects of the Company over the three year period to 31 December 2025. The Directors consider that three years is an appropriate period based on a review of the Company's investment horizon, anticipated cash flows, management arrangements as well as the liquidity of the Company's investment in the Master Fund.

The Company's performance and operations depend upon the performance of the Master Fund and the Directors, in assessing the viability of the Company, pay particular attention to the risks facing the Master Fund.

The Directors acknowledge the two year notice period to the Investment Manager serving notice under the Management Agreement. To mitigate against this risk, the

Directors meet regularly with the Investment Manager to review the Company's performance, and closely monitor the relationship with the Investment Manager.

In its assessment of the viability of the Company, the Directors have carried out a robust assessment of the principal risks facing the Company as set out in the Directors' Report, and believe that the Company is well placed to manage these risks, having taken into account the current economic outlook.

The Directors, having considered the risks and reviewed ongoing budgeted expenses, have a reasonable expectation that the Company will be able to continue in operation and meet its liabilities as they fall due. The Directors confirm their belief that the Company will remain viable for the period to 31 December 2025.

Going Concern

The Master Fund shares are liquid and can be converted to cash to meet liabilities as they fall due. Although these shares are subject to a 25% quarterly investor level redemption gate, the Board considers this to be sufficient for normal requirements. After due consideration, and having made due enquiry, given the nature of the Company and its investments, the Directors are satisfied that it is appropriate to continue to adopt the going concern basis in preparing these Audited Financial Statements for the period through 30 June 2024.

Section 172 Report

Section 172 of the Companies Act 2006 (“UK Companies Act”) applies directly to UK domiciled companies. Nonetheless, the intention of the AIC Code is that the matters set out in Section 172 are reported on by all London listed investment companies, irrespective of domicile, provided that this does not conflict with local company law.

Section 172 states that: A director of a company must act in the way he or she considers, in good faith, would be most likely to promote the success of the Company for the benefit of its members as a whole, and in doing so have regard (amongst other matters) to the following:

The likely consequences of any decision in the long term.	In managing the Company, the aim of the Board and the Investment Manager is always to ensure the long-term sustainable success of the Company and, therefore, the likely long-term consequences of any decision are a key consideration. In managing the Company during the year under review, the Board acted in the way which it considered, in good faith, would be most likely to promote the Company’s long-term sustainable success and to achieve its wider objectives for the benefit of Shareholders as a whole, having had regard to the Company’s wider stakeholders and the other matters set out in section 172 of the UK Companies Act.
The interests of the Company’s employees.	The Company does not have any employees.
The need to foster the Company’s business relationships with suppliers, customers and others.	The Board’s approach is described under “Stakeholders” on the following pages.
The impact of the Company’s operations on the community and the environment.	The Board’s approach is described under Environmental, Social and Corporate Governance (ESG) Policies on the following pages.
The desirability of the Company maintaining a reputation for high standards of business conduct.	The Board’s approach is described under “Culture and Values” on the following pages.
The need to act fairly as between members of the Company.	The Board’s approach is described under “Stakeholders” on the following pages.

Culture and Values

The Directors’ overarching duty is to promote the success of the Company for the benefit of investors, with due consideration of other stakeholders’ interests. The Company’s approach to investment is explained in the Investment Manager’s Report. The Board applies various policies and practices to ensure that the Board’s culture is in line with the Company’s purpose, values and strategy. The Directors aim to achieve a supportive business culture combined with constructive challenge.

The Company has a number of policies and procedures in place to assist with maintaining a culture of good governance including those relating to diversity, anti-bribery (including the acceptance of gifts and hospitality), tax

evasion, conflicts of interest, and dealings in the Company's shares. The Board assesses and monitors compliance with these policies regularly through Board meetings and the annual evaluation process. The Board seeks to appoint the most appropriate service providers for the Company's needs and evaluates the services on a regular basis. The Board considers the culture of the Investment Manager and other service providers through regular reporting and by receiving regular presentations as well as through ad hoc interaction.

The Board also seeks to control the Company's costs, thereby enhancing performance and returns for the Company's Shareholders. The Directors consider the impact on the community and environment. The Board and Investment Manager work closely together in developing and monitoring the Company's approach to Environmental, Social and Corporate Governance matters.

Stakeholders

The Company is an externally managed investment company whose activities are all outsourced. It does not have any employees. The Board has identified its key stakeholders, and how the Company engages with them, in the table below:

Stakeholder	Key Considerations	Engagement
<p>Shareholders</p>	<p>As an investment company, Third Point Investors Limited's Shareholders are, in effect, both its owners and its customers, seeking investment returns from the Company. A well-informed and supportive Shareholder base is crucial to the long-term sustainability of the Company. Understanding the views and priorities of Shareholders is, therefore, fundamental to retaining their continued support. In considering Shareholders, the Board's key considerations are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Overall investment returns; ■ Controlling the discount at which shares trade to net asset value; and ■ Control of costs. 	<p>A detailed explanation of the Company's approach is set out in the Director's Report under Relations with Shareholders.</p> <p>The Board receives regular reports from the Investment Manager and also independent reports from Numis Securities Limited (the "Corporate Broker") on relations with, and any views expressed by, Shareholders.</p> <p>During 2021, a minority of the Company's Shareholders petitioned the Board in an attempt to have the Board follow policies which were in those Shareholders' interests. The Board engaged with those Shareholders but continued to follow policies which it considered to be in the best interests of Shareholders taken as a whole. This continued into 2022 but, after discussions with the Company, these shareholders agreed to cease their actions in February 2022.</p>
<p>Investment Manager</p>	<p>Management of the Company's investment is delegated to the Investment Manager. Investment performance is crucial to the long-term success of the Company.</p>	<p>The Board engages in regular, open and close communication with the Investment Manager. It reviews in detail the overall performance of the Company and its underlying investment. The relationship with and performance of the Investment Manager is monitored and reviewed by the Management Engagement Committee.</p> <p>In setting investment management fees, the Board seeks to achieve an appropriate balance between value for money and an incentive to retain a strong and capable portfolio management team along with supporting staff and infrastructure.</p>

Stakeholder	Key Considerations	Engagement
<p>Administrator and Corporate Secretary and other key service providers.</p>	<p>The Administrator and Corporate Secretary are key to the effective running of the Company.</p> <p>The Company has a number of other key service providers, each of which provides an important service to the Company and ultimately to its Shareholders.</p>	<p>The Administrator and Corporate Secretary attend all Board meetings.</p> <p>The Management Engagement Committee undertakes an annual review of the key service providers, encompassing performance, level of service and cost. Each provider is an established business and each is required to have in place suitable policies to ensure they maintain high standards of business conduct, treat customers fairly, and employ corporate governance best practices.</p> <p>All bills and expense claims from suppliers are paid in full, on time and in compliance with the relevant contracts.</p>

Environmental, Social and Governance (“ESG”) Policies

The Board regards proper and effective governance a high priority for the Company.

As an investment company, the Company has a limited direct impact on the environment or on society. The Board has concluded specifically that climate change, including physical and transition risks, does not have a material impact on the recognition and separate measurement considerations of the assets and liabilities of the Company in the financial statements as at 31 December 2022, but recognises that climate change may have an effect on the investments held in the Master Fund. The Board requires the Company’s service providers to have adopted and to follow appropriate ESG policies and the Investment Manager assesses and monitors any climate change risk on the investments held in the Master Fund.

The ESG policies of the Investment Manager are made up of the environmental, social, and governance factors considered in the investment process and the ESG initiatives undertaken within the business itself.

The Investment Manager is a signatory to the United Nations Principles for Responsible Investment.

Investment Process

In 2020, Third Point started to incorporate ESG evaluation into certain of its investment strategies. The Investment Manager’s process is designed to broadly identify ESG issues – both those that may create value and those likely to destroy it – and, when appropriate, to consider whether to engage company management in discussion about these topics. These standards are maintained through a four-step process – from pre-investment checklist to post-investment tracking – overseen by the Head of ESG Engagement, who stays abreast of developments in the portfolio and in the ESG community and engages with the Director of Research and the investment team on ESG issues.

Assessing Sustainability Risks

Sustainability risk refers to an environmental, social or governance event or condition that, if it occurs, could cause an actual or a potential material negative impact on the value of an investment. The Investment Manager therefore approaches sustainability risk analysis as a process of identifying potential events that could cause a material negative impact on the value of its clients’ investments.

The Investment Manager considers environmental, social, and governance events or conditions as part of the investment process in areas where data availability allows for analysis, with a focus on risks relating to governance events or conditions. These are most relevant to the Master Fund, given the Investment Manager’s history of shareholder engagement. The Investment Manager has implemented procedures to identify, manage and monitor certain sustainability risks relating to governance events including:

Identification: The Investment Manager has reviewed the sustainability risks relating to governance events or conditions which may cause a material negative impact on the value of its clients’ investments, should those risks occur.

Management: While the Investment Manager’s portfolio managers and analysts are provided with information on certain sustainability risks relating to governance events or conditions, and are encouraged to take such sustainability risks into account when making an investment decision, sustainability risk would not by itself prevent the Investment Manager from making any investment. Instead, sustainability risk relating to governance events or conditions forms part of the overall risk management process, and is one of many risks which may, depending on the specific investment opportunity, be relevant to a determination of risk. However, the Investment Manager does not apply any absolute risk limits or risk appetite thresholds which relate exclusively to sustainability risk relating to governance events or conditions as a separate category of risk.

Monitoring: As part of ongoing monitoring, the Investment Manager’s portfolio managers may at times engage in Active Ownership. Active Ownership is the process of communicating with issuers on governance issues, with a view to monitor or influence governance outcomes within the issuer.

Governance risks are associated with the quality, effectiveness and process for the oversight of day-to-day management of companies in which the Master Fund may invest or otherwise have exposure to. Such risks may arise in respect of the Company itself, its affiliates or in its supply chain. While not exhaustive, the below are examples of the risks that the Investment Manager seeks to assess:

- Lack of diversity at board or governing body level: the absence of a diverse and relevant skillset within a board or governing body may result in less well-informed decisions being made. The absence of an independent chairperson of the board, particularly where such role is combined with the role of chief executive officer, may hamper the board’s ability to exercise its oversight responsibilities, challenge and discuss strategic planning and performance, input on issues such as succession planning and executive remuneration and otherwise set the board’s agenda.
- Inadequate external or internal audit: ineffective or otherwise inadequate internal and external audit functions may increase the likelihood that fraud and other issues within a company are not detected and/or that material information used as part of a company’s valuation and/or the Investment Manager’s investment decision making is inaccurate.
- Bribery and corruption: the effectiveness of a company’s controls to detect and prevent bribery and corruption both within the company and its governing body and also its suppliers, contractors and sub-contractors may have an impact on the extent to which a company is operated in furtherance of its business objectives.

- Lack of scrutiny of executive pay: failure to align levels of executive pay with performance and long-term corporate strategy in order to protect and create value may result in executives failing to act in the long-term interest of the company.
- Poor safeguards on personal data/IT security (of employees and/or customers): the effectiveness of measures taken to protect personal data of employees and customers, and, more broadly, IT and cybersecurity, will affect a company’s susceptibility to inadvertent data breaches and its resilience to “hacking.”

ESG within Third Point

The Investment Manager also endeavours to continuously improve and expand upon its commitment to be a responsible, sustainable, and healthy workplace. Since its founding in 1995, it has promoted employee wellness, training, and environmental sustainability, and in 2019 codified these values into its formal ESG policies. These policies encompass an ongoing commitment to developing best-in-class standards for environmental, social, and governance practices. Below are some of the highlights of the internal ESG activities and initiatives that have been undertaken by the Investment Manager.

Environmental initiatives

Third Point’s reuse and recycling practices focus on recycling plastics and paper; reducing container waste; and promoting food sustainability.

Third Point’s offices are located at 55 Hudson Yards, which is part of the first neighbourhood in Manhattan to receive the LEED-Gold certification, awarded by the United States Green Building Council for its green infrastructure, public transportation linkages, and pedestrian-friendly community design. The neighbourhood operates on a first-of-its-kind microgrid with two cogeneration plants that saves 25,000 MT of CO₂ greenhouse gases (equal to the annual emissions of 5,100 cars) from being emitted annually.

Hudson Yards is a model for stormwater reuse with rainfall collected from rooftops and public spaces and stored in a 60,000-gallon tank in the platform that forms the base of the neighbourhood. Stormwater is used to irrigate the more than 200 mature trees and 28,000 plants in the public park as well as in mechanical systems to conserve potable drinking water, reducing stress on New York’s sewer system.

Social Initiatives

The Investment Manager believes engaged human capital management is essential for an asset manager, as trained employees increasingly drive value in the data-driven economy. The Investment Manager takes a long-term view of employee evolution and invests in its people. It is also committed to innovating and evolving to meet

future employee needs, particularly in areas where talent is scarce, such as in data science and AI. Third Point is an Equal Opportunity Employer and has adopted fair chance hiring practices. The Investment Manager is committed to the benefits of a diverse workforce in perspective and background. Third Point offers internships to candidates through SEO, an organization that introduces historically underrepresented students to financial services. It also participates in industry initiatives to bring more women into asset management via involvement with Girls Who Invest. The organization's goal is to have 30% of the world's investable capital managed by women by 2030.

Philanthropy

Through the "Third Point Gives" programme, the Investment Manager offers its employees multiple opportunities to come together for service learning and contribute financially to the community. Consistent with Third Point values, Third Point Gives comprises three core elements:

- The Matching Gifts Programme seeks to encourage charitable giving by Third Point employees with matching eligible contributions up to \$15,000 per employee per calendar year.
- The Individual Philanthropy Programme seeks to empower Third Point employees to maximize their impact on the issues they care about most by providing opportunities to learn valuable techniques, strategies and approaches to effective philanthropy.
- The Team Philanthropy Programme seeks to unlock the power of teamwork and collaboration among Third Point employees to improve the world around them through joint effort on a shared philanthropic endeavour.

In 2020, Third Point launched an innovative Team Philanthropy project in partnership with a non-profit organization, the Ladies of Hope Ministries ("LOHM"), an organization dedicated to helping previously incarcerated women and their families re-integrate into society. Third Point is not only donating personal philanthropic capital from the CEO and many employees, but is also offering intellectual expertise in areas such as marketing, accounting, investing and legal services to help the organization scale more effectively.

Donor Advised Funds

In 2017, Third Point began to offer its employees a Donor Advised Fund ("DAF") structure. A DAF allows an employee to set aside philanthropic capital in a structure that invests the charitable funds in Third Point's hedge funds until the employee is prepared to allocate them to a non-profit. This allows employees to make annual contributions to a charitable foundation of their own, to have those funds grow over time, and to develop a philosophy around giving back.

Governance Initiatives

The Investment Manager strongly encourages good governance practice at all its investee businesses through formal and informal engagement. Each of Third Point's fund structures has an independent Board or Unaffiliated Consultation Committee. Five of the six members of the Board of the Company are independent of the Investment Manager.

Signed on behalf of the Board by:

Rupert Dorey
Chairman

Huw Evans
Director

25 April 2023

Directors' Report

Directors

The Directors of the Company during the year and to the date of this Report are as listed on pages 22 and 23 of this Annual Report.

Directors' Interests

Pursuant to an instrument of indemnity entered into between the Company and each Director, the Company has undertaken, subject to certain limitations, to indemnify each Director out of the assets and profits of the Company against all costs, charges, losses, damages, expenses and liabilities arising out of any claims made against them in connection with the performance of their duties as a Director of the Company.

Rupert Dorey and his wife Rosemary Dorey held 25,000 shares between them as at 31 December 2022.

Huw Evans held 5,000 shares as at 31 December 2022.

Mr. Targoff holds the position of Chief Operating Officer, Chief Legal Officer and Partner of Third Point LLC.

Claire Whittet and her husband Martin Whittet held 2,500 shares as at 31 December 2022 through their joint Retirement Annuity Trust Scheme (RATS).

Mr. Boléat and Ms. Gould were appointed to the Board effective 1 March 2022.

Corporate Governance

The Board is guided by the principles and recommendations of the Association of Investment Companies Code of Corporate Governance ("AIC Code"). The AIC Code addresses all the principles set out in the UK Corporate Governance Code (the "UK Code"), as well as setting out additional principles and recommendations on issues that are of specific relevance to investment companies. The UK Financial Reporting Council ("FRC") has confirmed that investment companies which comply with the AIC Code will be treated as meeting their obligations under the UK Code and Section 9.8.10R(2) of the Listing Rules.

The Board has determined that reporting against the principles and recommendations of the AIC Code will provide appropriate information to Shareholders. The Company has complied with all the recommendations of the AIC Code and the relevant provisions of the UK Code, except as set out below.

The UK Code includes provisions relating to:

- the role of the chief executive;
- executive Directors' remuneration; and
- the need for an internal audit function.

The Board considers these provisions are not relevant to the position of the Company, being an externally advised investment company with no executive directors or employees. The Company has therefore not reported further in respect of these provisions.

The Company does not have employees, hence no whistle-blowing policy is necessary. However, the Board, through the Management Engagement Committee ("MEC"), has satisfied itself that the Company's service providers have appropriate whistleblowing policies and procedures and confirmation has been sought from the service providers that nothing has arisen under those policies and procedures which should be brought to the attention of the Board. Furthermore, the MEC, on an annual basis, ensures that service providers have appropriate anti money laundering, disaster recovery and risk monitoring policies in place.

The Code of Corporate Governance (the "Guernsey Code") provides a framework that applies to all entities licensed by the Guernsey Financial Services Commission ("GFSC") or which are registered or authorised as a collective investment scheme. Companies reporting against the UK Code or the AIC Code are deemed to comply with the Guernsey Code.

The Board confirms that, throughout the year covered in the Audited Financial Statements, the Company complied with the Guernsey Code, to the extent it was applicable based upon its legal and operating structure and its nature, scale and complexity.

The UK code is available on the FRC website www.frc.org.uk and the AIC code on the AIC website www.theaic.co.uk.

Board Structure

The Directors who served during the year are listed below. Ms. Whittet is the senior independent Director.

Name	Position	Independent	Date Appointed
Richard Boléat	Non-Executive Director	Yes	1 March 2022
Rupert Dorey	Non-Executive Chairman	Yes	5 February 2019
Huw Evans	Non-Executive Director	Yes	21 August 2019
Vivien Gould	Non-Executive Director	Yes	1 March 2022
Joshua L Targoff	Non-Executive Director	No	29 May 2009
Claire Whittet	Non-Executive Director	Yes	27 April 2017

Mr. Targoff, the Chief Operating Officer, Chief Legal Officer and Partner of the Investment Manager, is not considered independent of the Company's Investment Manager. All other Directors are considered by the Board to be independent.

The Board meets at least four times a year and in addition there is regular contact between the Board, the Investment Manager and Northern Trust International Fund Administration Services (Guernsey) Limited (the "Administrator" and "Corporate Secretary"). The Board requires to be supplied in a timely manner with information by the Investment Manager, the Administrator, and the Corporate Secretary and other advisors in a form and of a quality appropriate to enable it to discharge its duties. The Board, excluding Mr. Targoff, regularly reviews the performance of the Investment Manager and the Master Fund to ensure that performance is satisfactory and in accordance with the terms and conditions of the relative appointments and Prospectus. It carries out this review through consideration of a number of objective and subjective criteria and through a review of the terms and conditions of the advisors' appointment with the aim of evaluating performance, identifying any weaknesses and ensuring value for money for the Company's Shareholders.

The Company has no executive Directors or employees. All matters, including strategy, investment and dividend policies, gearing and corporate governance procedures are reserved for approval by the Board of Directors. The Board receives full information on the Company's investment performance, assets, liabilities and other relevant information in advance of Board meetings.

Board Tenure and Succession Planning

As required by the AIC Code, every Director is subject to annual re-election by the Shareholders. Any directors appointed to the Board since the previous AGM also retire and stand for election. The Independent Directors take the lead in any discussions relating to the appointment or re-appointment of directors, initially through the Nomination and Remuneration Committee and, when recruiting new directors, may use an independent recruitment firm.

Meeting Attendance Records

The table below lists Directors' attendance at meetings during the year.

Name	Scheduled Board Meetings Attended	Audit Committee Meetings Attended
Richard Boléat	3 of 4 ¹	2 of 3
Rupert Dorey	4 of 4	n/a
Huw Evans	4 of 4	3 of 3
Vivien Gould	3 of 4 ¹	3 of 3
Joshua L Targoff	4 of 4	n/a
Claire Whittet	4 of 4	3 of 3

¹ Mr. Boléat and Ms. Gould were appointed to the Board effective 1 March 2022.

A number of other ad hoc meetings of the Board were held during the year which were attended by those Directors who were available at the time.

Committees of the Board

The AIC Code requires the Company to appoint Nomination, Remuneration and Management Engagement Committees and the independent directors of the Board act as these committees. The Nomination and Remuneration Committee considers the composition of and recruitment to the Board and, when determining remuneration levels of the Directors, takes into account market practice, peer group statistics and the requirements of the role. Vivien Gould is Chairman of the Nomination and Remuneration Committee.

Before the commencement of any recruitment process, the Nomination and Remuneration Committee evaluate the balance of skills, knowledge, experience and diversity on the Board and, in the light of this evaluation, prepare a description of the role and capabilities required for a particular appointment. Appointments to the Board will continue to be based on the individual's skills, experience and character, and will always be based on merit. New Directors receive an induction from the Investment Manager on joining the Board, and all Directors undertake relevant training as necessary.

The Company annually reviews its policy on the structure, size and composition of the Board. The Board is cognisant of the recommendations of the Parker Review in relation to targets for ethnic diversity, the FTSE Women Leaders Review in relation to targets for women on boards and the new FCA Listing Rules requirements on board diversity targets. At 31 December 2022 independent members of the Board comprised three men and two women.

The function of the Management Engagement Committee is to ensure that the Company's management agreement is competitive and reasonable for the Shareholders, along with the Company's agreements with all other third party service providers (other than the external auditors). The Committee also reviews annually the performance of the Investment Manager with a view to determining whether to recommend to the Board that the Investment Manager's mandate be renewed, subject to the specific notice period requirement of the agreement. The other third party service providers are also reviewed on an annual basis. Richard Boléat is Chairman of the Management Engagement Committee.

Audit Committee

The Company's Audit Committee conducts formal meetings at least three times a year. Its functions include monitoring the Company's internal control and risk management systems, oversight of the relationship with the External Auditor, including consideration of the appointment, independence, effectiveness of the audit, and remuneration of the auditors, and to review and recommend the Annual Report and audited financial statements, and the Interim Report and unaudited

condensed interim financial statements to the Board of Directors. Huw Evans is Chairman of the Audit Committee.

Senior Independent Director

Claire Whittet is the Senior Independent Director.

Directors' Duties and Responsibilities

The Directors have adopted a set of Reserved Powers, which establish the key purpose of the Board and detail its major duties. These duties cover the following areas of responsibility:

- Statutory obligations and public disclosure;
- Strategic matters and financial reporting;
- Board composition and accountability to Shareholders;
- Risk assessment and management, including reporting, compliance, monitoring, governance and control; and
- Other matters having material effects on the Company.

These Reserved Powers of the Board allow the Directors to discharge their fiduciary responsibilities and provide a set of parameters for measuring and monitoring the effectiveness of their actions.

The Directors are responsible for the overall management and direction of the affairs of the Company. The Company has no Executive Directors or employees. The Company invests all of its assets in shares of the Master Fund and Third Point LLC acts as Investment Manager to the Master Fund and is responsible for the discretionary investment management of the Master Fund's investment portfolio under the terms of the Master Fund Prospectus.

Northern Trust International Fund Administration Services (Guernsey) Limited acts as Administrator (the "Administrator") and Company Secretary and is responsible to the Board under the terms of the Administration Agreement. The Administrator is also responsible to the Board for ensuring compliance with the Rules and Regulations of The Companies (Guernsey) Law, London Stock Exchange listing requirements and observation of the Reserved Powers of the Board and in this respect the Board receives detailed quarterly reports.

The Directors have access to the advice and services of the Company Secretary who is responsible to the Board for ensuring that Board procedures are followed and that it complies with applicable rules and regulations of The Companies (Guernsey) Law, the GFSC and the London Stock Exchange. Individual Directors may, at the expense of the Company, seek independent professional advice on any matter that concerns them in the furtherance of their duties. The Company maintains appropriate Directors' and Officers' liability insurance in respect of legal action against its Directors on an ongoing basis and the Company has maintained appropriate Directors' Liability Insurance cover throughout the year.

The Board is also responsible for safeguarding the assets of the Company and for taking reasonable steps for the prevention and detection of fraud and other irregularities.

Internal Control and Financial Reporting

The Directors acknowledge that they are responsible for establishing and maintaining the Company's system of internal control and reviewing its effectiveness. Internal control systems are designed to manage rather than eliminate the failure to achieve business objectives and can only provide reasonable but not absolute assurance against material misstatements or loss.

The Directors review all controls including operations, compliance and risk management. The key procedures which have been established to provide internal control are:

- Investment advisory services are provided by the Investment Manager. The Board is responsible for setting the overall investment policy, ensuring compliance with the Company's Investment Strategy and monitoring the action of the Investment Manager and Master Fund at regular Board meetings. The Board has also delegated administration and company secretarial services to Northern Trust International Fund Administration Services (Guernsey) Limited ("NT"); however, it retains accountability for all functions it has delegated;
- The Board considers the process for identifying, evaluating and managing any significant risks faced by the Company on an on-going basis. It seeks to ensure that effective controls are in place to mitigate these risks and that a satisfactory compliance regime exists to ensure all local and international laws and regulations are upheld;
- The Board clearly defines the duties and responsibilities of its agents and advisors and appointments are made by the Board after due and careful consideration. The Board monitors the ongoing performance of such agents and advisors;
- The Investment Manager and NT maintain their own systems of internal control, on which they report to the Board. The Company, in common with other investment companies, does not have an internal audit function. The Audit Committee has considered the need for an internal audit function, but because of the internal control systems in place at the Investment Manager and NT, has decided it appropriate to place reliance on their systems and internal control procedures; and
- The systems are designed to ensure effectiveness and efficient operation, internal control and compliance with laws and regulations. In establishing the systems of internal control, regard is paid to the materiality of relevant risks.

Board Performance

The Board and Committees undertake formal annual evaluations of their own performance and that of the individual Directors. This process is conducted by the respective Chair reviewing individually with each of the Directors and members of the Committee their performance, contribution and commitment to the Company. In line with provision 6.2.14 of the AIC Code, the performance of the Chair of the Board is evaluated annually by the other independent Directors and relayed to the Chair of the Board by Claire Whittet who is the Senior Independent Director. An external evaluation of the Board's performance was carried out by Lintstock Limited in February 2021. Lintstock did not raise any issues of significance.

Management of Principal Risks and Uncertainties

In considering the risks and uncertainties facing the Company, the Audit Committee reviews regularly a matrix which documents the principal and emerging risks and reports its findings to the Board.

This discipline is in accordance with the Guidance on Risk Management, Internal Control and Related Financial and Business Reporting, published by the FRC and has been in place for the year under review and up to the date of approval of the Audited Financial Statements.

The risk matrix document considers the following information:

- Reviewing the risks faced by the Company and the controls in place to address those risks;
- Identifying and reporting changes in the risk environment;
- Identifying and reporting changes in the operational controls; and
- Identifying and reporting on the effectiveness of controls and remediation of errors arising.

The Directors have acknowledged they are responsible for establishing and maintaining the Company's system of internal control and reviewing its effectiveness by focusing on four key areas:

- Consideration of the investment advisory services provided by the Investment Manager;
- Consideration of the process for identifying, evaluating and managing any significant current and emerging risks faced by the Company on an ongoing basis;
- Clarity around the duties and responsibilities of the agents and advisors engaged by the Directors; and
- Reliance on the Investment Manager and Administrator maintaining their own systems of internal controls.

Further discussion on Internal Control is documented under “Internal Control and Financial Reporting” set out above.

The risk matrix considers all the significant risks to which the Company has been exposed during the financial year and, from these, the Directors paid particular attention to the following principal risks and uncertainties:

- **Discount to the NAV.** The Board monitors the discount to NAV and maintains regular contact with the Investment Manager and Corporate Broker to assess the market for the Company's shares. In addition, the Investment Manager, Corporate Broker and the Directors maintain regular contact with significant Shareholders in the Company. The Board approved a three-year programme in September 2019 under which the Company bought back approximately \$200 million worth of its stock with the intention of narrowing the discount. This was broadly effective, provided liquidity to the market and contained discount volatility over the three year period. The programme was extended in September 2022 with of the order of a further \$50 million allocated for the subsequent 12 months;
- **Concentration of the Investor Base.** During 2021 a minority of Shareholders petitioned the Board in an attempt to have the board follow policies which were in those Shareholders' interests. Those shareholders agreed to withdraw their most recent requisition in February 2022 at the time the Company appointed two further Directors to the Board. The Directors receive quarterly reports on the shareholder base from the Corporate Broker and there is regular communication between the Directors and the Corporate Broker to identify any significant changes in the share register;
- **Shareholder relations.** The Board monitors key shareholder reports provided by the Corporate Broker at each Board Meeting. The Investment Manager prepares monthly updates on behalf of the Master Fund and maintains the Company website. The Board receives quarterly reports from the Corporate Broker and the Investment Manager on the major shareholdings. The Board and the Investment Manager's investor relations personnel have continued its policy of active engagement with shareholders over the year;
- **Performance of the Investment Manager.** Through the Management Engagement Committee, the Directors review the performance of the Investment Manager on an annual basis. Daniel Loeb is CEO and CIO of the Investment Manager and his continuing involvement is a critical element of its success. The Board representatives conduct annual visits to the Investment Manager in New York, the most recent being in April 2023;
- **Underlying investment performance of the Master Fund.** The Directors receive monthly updates from the Investment Manager on the performance of the Master

Fund and review the detailed performance at quarterly Board Meetings. The Board has access to the Investment Manager at all times on any potential question or issue;

- **Geopolitical and economic risk.** During the year under review, inflation emerged as a significant risk in developed economies coinciding with moves by Central Banks to tighten monetary policy. This was overlaid with uncertainties arising from the conflict in Ukraine leading to significant volatility in investment markets. The Investment Manager monitors local and international risks and adjusts the portfolio of investments in the Master Fund accordingly;
- **Liquidity of shares in the Master Fund.** The Company relies on the redemption of shares in the Master Fund in order to meet its monthly expenses and share buybacks. The Directors receive reports from the Administrator each month as this takes place; and
- **Valuation of investments.** The valuation of the Company's investment in the Master Fund is confirmed by the Administrator of the Master Fund, is checked by the Investment Manager and is reviewed as part of the Company's annual audit. The Board makes enquiries of the Investment Manager to satisfy itself that there are satisfactory controls in place over the valuation processes within the Master Fund and the Master Partnership. The accounts of the Master Fund and the Master Partnership are both subject to annual audit.

It is expected that the principal risks and uncertainties listed above will apply to the Company for a minimum of the next six months.

Significant Events

A small group of shareholders started a campaign against the Board during 2021 which continued into the early part of 2022. The Board engaged with the requisitionists and, in February 2022, both the Company and the requisitionists came to a mutually agreed position to strengthen the Board, further endorsing its independence and capability. This then led to the appointment of Richard Boléat and Vivien Gould to the Board with effect from 1 March 2022. Mr. Rupert Dorey was appointed Chairman of the Board with effect from 18 February 2022.

On 28 April 2022 the Company announced that 2,672,838 TPIL Shares had been exchanged into Master Fund shares under the \$75 million Exchange Facility announced on 11 January 2022.

On 12 September 2022, the Company announced an extension to its buyback programme allocating of the order of \$50 million to buying shares over the subsequent 12 months. During the year ended 31 December 2022, a total of almost 2.3 million shares were repurchased under the buyback programme with a value of approximately \$53 million, at a weighted average discount to NAV of 12.6%.

This had the effect of accreting 27 cents per share to NAV.

There were no other events outside the ordinary course of business which, in the opinion of the Directors, may have had an impact on the Audited Financial Statements for the year ended 31 December 2022.

Relations with Shareholders

The Board welcomes Shareholders' views and places great importance on communication with its Shareholders. The Board receives regular reports on the views of Shareholders and the Chairman and other Directors are available to meet Shareholders. Shareholders who wish to communicate with the Board should, in the first instance contact the Administrator, whose contact details can be found on the Company's website (www.thirdpointlimited.com). The Annual General Meeting of the Company provides a forum for Shareholders to meet and discuss issues with the Directors of the Company. The fifteenth Annual General Meeting was held on 8 June 2022 with all proposed resolutions being passed by the Shareholders.

International Tax Reporting

For the purposes of the US Foreign Account Tax Compliance Act ("FATCA"), the Company is registered with the US Internal Revenue Services ("IRS") as a Guernsey reporting Foreign Financial Institution ("FFI"). The Company has received a Global Intermediary Identification Number and can be found on the IRS FFI list.

The Common Reporting Standard ("CRS") is a global standard for the automatic exchange of financial account information developed by the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development ("OECD"), which has been adopted by Guernsey and which came into effect on 1 January 2016.

The Board has taken the necessary action to ensure that the Company is compliant with Guernsey regulations and guidance in this regard.

Criminal Finances Act 2017

In respect of the UK Criminal Finances Act 2017 which introduced a new corporate criminal offence ("CCO") of 'failing to take reasonable steps to prevent the facilitation of tax evasion', the Board confirms that it is committed to zero tolerance towards the criminal facilitation of tax evasion.

The Board also keeps under review developments involving other social, environmental and regulatory matters and will report on those to the extent they are considered relevant to the Company's operations.

Significant Shareholdings

As at 20 April 2023, the Company had been notified that the following had significant shareholdings in excess of 5% in the Company:

Name	Total Shares Held	% Holdings in Class
Goldman Sachs Securities (Nominees)	5,160,266	19.03%
Chase Nominees Limited	3,045,032	11.23%
Vidacos Nominees Limited	2,530,268	9.33%
BBHISL Nominees Limited	1,710,050	6.31%
Aurora Nominees Limited	1,574,761	5.81%
Smith & Williamson Nominees Limited	1,367,092	5.04%

Signed on behalf of the Board by:

Rupert Dorey
Chairman

Huw Evans
Director

25 April 2023

Statement of Directors' Responsibilities in Respect of the Audited Financial Statements

The Directors are responsible for preparing the Audited Financial Statements in accordance with applicable Guernsey Law and accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Guernsey Company Law requires the Directors to prepare financial statements for each financial period which give a true and fair view of the state of affairs of the Company and of the net income or expense of the Company for that year.

In preparing these Audited Financial Statements the Directors should:

- select suitable accounting policies and then apply them consistently;
- make judgements and estimates that are reasonable and prudent;
- state whether the applicable accounting standards have been followed subject to any material departures disclosed and explained in the Audited Financial Statements; and
- prepare the Audited Financial Statements on a going concern basis unless it is inappropriate to presume that the Company will continue in business.

The Directors are responsible for keeping proper accounting records which disclose with reasonable accuracy at any time the financial position of the Company and to enable them to ensure that the Audited Financial Statements comply with The Companies (Guernsey) Law, 2008. They are also responsible for the system of internal controls, safeguarding the assets of the Company and hence for taking reasonable steps for the prevention and detection of fraud and other irregularities.

The Directors have responsibility to confirm that:

- there is no relevant audit information of which the Company's Auditor is unaware and each Director has taken all the steps he/she ought to have taken as a Director to make himself aware of any relevant information and to establish that the Company's Auditor is aware of that information;
- this Annual Report and Audited Financial Statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and give a true and fair view of the financial position of the Company;
- this Annual Report and Audited Financial Statements, taken as a whole, are fair, balanced and understandable and provide information necessary for the Shareholders to assess the Company's performance, business model and strategy; and

- this Annual Report and Audited Financial Statements include information detailed in the Directors' Report, the Investment Manager's Review and Notes to the Audited Financial Statements, which provide a fair review of the information required by:
 - a) DTR 4.1.8 of the Disclosure Guidance and Transparency Rules ("DTR"), being a fair review of the Company business and a description of the principal risks and uncertainties facing the Company; and
 - b) DTR 4.1.11 of the DTR, being an indication of important events that have occurred since the ending of the financial year and the likely future development of the Company.

Rupert Dorey
Chairman

Huw Evans
Director

25 April 2023

Directors' Remuneration Report

The Board has prepared this report as part of its framework for corporate governance which, as described in the Directors' Report, enables the Company to comply with the main requirements of the UK Corporate Governance Code published by the Financial Reporting Council.

An ordinary resolution for the approval of this report will be put to the Shareholders at the forthcoming AGM.

Remuneration Policy

The Board has appointed a Nomination and Remuneration Committee and the independent directors act as this committee. This Committee considers the composition of and recruitment to the Board, taking into account market practice, peer group statistics and the requirements of the role when determining remuneration levels of the Directors. As Mr Rupert Dorey was appointed Chairman of the Board with effect from 18 February 2022, he stood down from the Chair of the Nomination and Remuneration Committee. This role was held briefly by Ms Claire Whittet before passing to Ms Vivien Gould on 1 May 2022.

The Company's policy is that the fees payable to the Directors should reflect the time spent by the Directors on the Company's affairs and the responsibilities borne by the Directors and be sufficient to attract, retain and motivate directors of a quality required to run the Company successfully. The Chairman of the Board is paid a higher fee in recognition of his additional responsibilities, as is the Chairman of the Audit

Committee. The policy is to review fee rates periodically, although such a review will not necessarily result in any changes to the rates, and account is taken of fees paid to directors of comparable companies.

There are no long term incentive schemes provided by the Company and no performance fees are paid to Directors.

No Director has a service contract with the Company but each of the Directors is appointed by a letter of appointment which sets out the main terms of their appointment. Director appointments can also be terminated in accordance with the Articles. Should Shareholders vote against a Director standing for re-election, the Director affected will not be entitled to any compensation.

Directors are remunerated in the form of fees, payable quarterly in arrears, to the Director personally. No other remuneration or compensation was paid or payable by the Company during the year to any of the Directors apart from the reimbursement of allowable expenses.

At the AGM on 1 July 2020, shareholders approved an overall fee cap of £500,000 for the directors as a whole.

The fees for 2022 were as follows; Board Chairman - £76,000 per annum, Audit Chairman - £57,000 per annum and Director - £48,000 per annum. Josh Targoff has waived his fees. The Senior Independent Director, Nomination and Remuneration and Management Engagement Committee Chairs receive an additional £3,000 per annum.

Directors' fees

The fees payable by the Company in respect of each of the Directors who served during 2022 and 2021, were as follows:

	2022	2021
	£	£
Steve Bates ¹	–	66,323
Richard Boléat (Management Engagement Committee Chairman) ²	42,500	–
Rupert Dorey (Chairman) ³	76,000	43,616
Huw Evans (Audit Committee Chairman)	57,000	50,000
Vivien Gould (Nomination and Remuneration Committee Chairman) ²	42,500	–
Joshua L Targoff ⁴	–	–
Claire Whittet (Senior Independent Director)	51,000	43,074
Total	269,000	203,013
USD equivalent	US\$331,634	US\$280,566

¹ Mr. Bates resigned from the Board with effect 22 December 2021.

² Mr. Boléat and Ms. Gould were appointed to the Board as independent non-executive directors effective 1 March 2022.

³ Mr. Dorey was appointed as Chairman on 18 February 2022. It was agreed by the Board that as Mr. Dorey had been Acting Chairman following Mr Bates' resignation, that Mr Dorey be duly recompensed.

⁴ As a non-independent Director and as a Partner of the Investment Manager Joshua L Targoff waived his Directors' fee.

Performance

The financial highlights on page 5 detail the share price returns over the year.

Signed on behalf of the Board by:

Rupert Dorey

Chairman

Huw Evans

Director

25 April 2023

Report of the Audit Committee

On the following pages, we present the Audit Committee (the “Audit Committee”) Report for the year ended 31 December 2022, setting out the Audit Committee’s structure and composition, principal duties and key activities during the year.

As in previous years, the Audit Committee has reviewed the Company’s financial reporting, the independence and effectiveness of the independent auditor, and the internal control and risk management systems of service providers. The Board is satisfied that for the year under review and thereafter the Audit Committee has recent and relevant commercial and financial knowledge.

Structure and Composition

The Audit Committee is chaired by Huw Evans, and during the year, its other members were Richard Boléat, Vivien Gould and Claire Whittet. The Audit Committee operates within clearly defined terms of reference.

The Audit Committee Terms of Reference provide that appointments to the Audit Committee shall be for a period of up to three years, which may be extended for two further three year periods, and thereafter annually, provided that the Director whose appointment is being considered remains an Independent Director for the period of extension.

It was announced on 18 February 2022 that Rupert Dorey had been appointed Chairman of the Company following the resignation of Steve Bates. He therefore stood down from his membership of the Audit Committee. Richard Boléat and Vivien Gould became members of the Audit Committee on 1 March 2022 when they were appointed to the Board.

The tenure of the current members of the Committee is set out below.

Name of Audit Committee Member	Date of Appointment to Audit Committee	Next Date for Review
Richard Boléat	1 March 2022	March 2025
Huw Evans	28 August 2019	August 2025
Vivien Gould	1 March 2022	March 2025
Claire Whittet	27 April 2017	April 2026

The Audit Committee conducts formal meetings at least three times a year. The table on page 33 sets out the number of Audit Committee meetings held during the year ended 31 December 2022 and the number of such meetings attended by each committee member. The Independent Auditor is invited to attend those meetings at which the annual and interim reports are considered. The Independent Auditor and the Audit Committee will meet together without representatives of either the Administrator or Investment Manager being present if either considers this to be necessary.

Principal Duties

The role of the Audit Committee includes:

- monitoring the integrity of the published financial statements of the Company;
- keeping under review the consistency and appropriateness of accounting policies on a year to year basis. Satisfying itself that the annual accounts, the interim statement of financial results and any other major financial statements issued by the Company follow generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America and, in respect of the annual accounts, give a true and fair view of the Company and any associated undertakings’ affairs; matters raised by the external auditors about any aspect of the accounts or of the Company’s control and audit procedures are appropriately considered and, if necessary, brought to the attention of the Board for resolution;
- monitoring and reviewing the quality and effectiveness of the independent auditors and their independence;
- considering and making recommendations to the Board on the appointment, reappointment, replacement and remuneration of the Company’s independent auditor;

- monitoring and reviewing the internal control and risk management systems of the Company and its service providers; and
- considering at least once a year whether there is a need for an internal audit function.

The complete details of the Audit Committee's formal duties and responsibilities are set out in the Audit Committee's terms of reference, which can be obtained from the Company's website.

Independent Auditor

The Audit Committee is also the forum through which the independent auditor (the "auditor") reports to the Board of Directors. The objectivity of the auditor is reviewed by the Audit Committee which also reviews the terms under which the auditor is appointed to perform non-audit services. The Audit Committee reviews the scope and results of the audit, its cost effectiveness and the independence and objectivity of the auditor, with particular regard to non-audit fees. The Audit Committee has established pre-approval policies and procedures for the engagement of Ernst & Young LLP to provide non-audit services.

Ernst & Young LLP has been the independent auditor from the date of the initial listing on the London Stock Exchange.

The audit fees proposed by the auditors each year are reviewed by the Audit Committee taking into account the Company's structure, operations and other requirements during the year and the Audit Committee makes recommendations to the Board.

Non-audit fees were paid to Ernst & Young LLP during the year in respect of the interim review of the Company's condensed accounts to 30 June 2022. Ernst & Young LLP also provided tax compliance services. The Audit Committee considers Ernst & Young LLP to be independent of the Company.

Evaluations or Assessments Made During the Year

The following sections discuss the assessments made by the Audit Committee during the year:

Significant Areas of Focus for the Financial Statements

The Audit Committee's review of the interim and annual financial statements focused on the valuation of the Company's investment in the Master Fund. This represents substantially all the net assets of the Company and as such is the biggest factor in relation to the accuracy of the Audited Financial Statements. The holding in the Master Fund has been confirmed with the Company's Administrator and the Master Fund. This investment has been valued in accordance with the Accounting Policies set out in Note 3 to the Audited Financial Statements. The Audit Committee has reviewed the Financial Statements of the Master Fund and their Accounting Policies and determined the fair value of the investment as at 31 December 2022 is reasonable. The Financial Statements of the Master Fund and the Master Partnership for the year ended 31 December 2022 were audited by Ernst & Young LLP in the US who issued an unmodified audit opinion dated 17 March 2023.

Effectiveness of the Audit

The Audit Committee had formal meetings with Ernst & Young LLP during the course of the year: 1) before the start of the audit to discuss formal planning, discuss any potential issues and agree the scope that will be covered and 2) after the audit work was concluded to discuss any significant matters arising.

The Board considered the effectiveness and independence of Ernst & Young LLP by using a number of measures, including but not limited to:

- the audit plan presented to them before the start of the audit;
- the audit results report including where appropriate, explanation for any variations from the original plan;
- changes to audit personnel;
- the auditor's own internal procedures to identify threats to independence;
- feedback from both the Investment Manager and the Administrator; and
- confirmation from Ernst & Young LLP on their independence as additional comfort for the Audit Committee.

Further to the above, at the point of substantial conclusion of the 2022 audit, the Audit Committee performed a specific evaluation of the performance of the independent auditor. This is supported by the results of questionnaires completed by the Audit Committee covering areas such as quality of audit team, business understanding, audit approach and management.

There were no adverse findings from this evaluation.

Under the Crown Dependency rules, ethical standards require the Board to consider the outsourcing of any non-audit services such as interim review, tax compliance, tax structuring, private letter rulings, accounting advice, quarterly reviews and disclosure on an annual basis. Although the review of the Interim Report and Unaudited Condensed Interim Financial Statements is deemed to be a non-audit service, the Board considers it most appropriate for the external auditors to carry out this review. The budget for the annual audit, the interim review and certain tax compliance work carried out by Ernst & Young LLP was pre-approved by the Audit Committee.

Audit fees and Safeguards on Non-Audit Services

The table below summarises the remuneration payable by the Company to Ernst & Young LLP during the years ended 31 December 2022 and 31 December 2021.

	2022	2021
	£	£
	Total	Total
Audit Services	85,000	75,000
Non-audit Services – interim review and tax compliance services*	57,316	54,575

* Non-audit services in 2022 includes a £7,316 tax compliance fee (2021 £7,000) that has been approved but for which the work has not yet been performed.

Audit Tender

It is best practice, as well as a legal requirement for public companies in the UK, that the audit of the Company is put out to tender at least every 10 years. Consequently, during 2021 the Audit Committee invited each of the big four accounting firms (including Ernst & Young LLP as the current auditor) to participate in a tender. With the exception of Ernst & Young LLP, the other firms declined to participate on the basis that they would not want to audit a feeder fund, such as the Company, if they did not also audit the Master Fund. The Board subsequently wrote to the Board of the Master Fund, which is domiciled in the Cayman Islands where there are no requirements to rotate auditors, requesting that if the Board of the Master Fund were to consider carrying out a tender of its audit, the Company would also like to participate in the process.

Internal Control

The Audit Committee has examined the need for an internal audit function. The Audit Committee considered that the systems and procedures employed by the Investment Manager and the Administrator, including their internal audit functions, provided sufficient assurance that a sound system of internal control, which safeguards the Company's assets, has been maintained. An internal audit function specific to the Company is therefore considered unnecessary.

The Audit Committee has requested and received SOC1 or equivalent reports such as service provider assessment reports from the Company's Administrator and Master Fund's Administrators to enable it to fulfil its duties under its terms of reference. Representatives of the auditors, Investment Manager and the Administrator attend the Audit Committee meetings as a matter of practice and presentations are made by those attendees as and when required.

Conclusion and Recommendation

After reviewing various reports such as the operational and risk management framework and performance reports from management, liaising where necessary with Ernst & Young LLP, and assessing the significant areas of focus for financial statement issues listed on page 42, the Audit Committee is satisfied that these Audited Financial Statements appropriately address the critical judgements and key estimates (both in respect to the amounts reported and the disclosures).

The Audit Committee is also satisfied that the significant assumptions used for determining the value of assets and liabilities have been appropriately scrutinised, challenged and are sufficiently robust.

The Independent Auditor reported to the Audit Committee that no material misstatements were found in the course of its work. Furthermore, both the Investment Manager and the Administrator confirmed to the Audit Committee that they were not aware of any material misstatements including matters relating to presentation. The Audit Committee confirms that it is satisfied that the Independent Auditor has fulfilled its responsibilities with diligence and professional scepticism.

Consequent to the review process on the effectiveness of the independent audit and the review of audit services, the Audit Committee has recommended that Ernst & Young LLP be reappointed for the coming financial year.

Ernst & Young LLP has been the auditor of the Company since its incorporation in 2007 and the current audit partner is David Moore who has been in the role for five years. 2022 is David Moore's last year in the role of audit partner for Third Point Investors Limited.


For any questions on the activities of the Audit Committee not addressed in the foregoing, a member of the Audit Committee will attend each Annual General Meeting to respond to such questions.

Huw Evans

Audit Committee Chairman

25 April 2023

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT



Independent Auditor's Report to the Members of Third Point Investors Limited

Opinion

We have audited the financial statements of Third Point Investors Limited (the "Company") for the year ended 31 December 2022 which comprise the Statement of Assets and Liabilities, Statement of Operations, the Statement of Changes in Net Assets, the Statement of Cash Flows and the related notes 1 to 14, including a summary of significant accounting policies. The financial reporting framework that has been applied in their preparation is applicable law and accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

In our opinion, the financial statements:

- give a true and fair view of the state of the Company's affairs as at 31 December 2022 and of its results for the year then ended;
- have been properly prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; and
- have been properly prepared in accordance with the requirements of The Companies (Guernsey) Law, 2008.

Basis for opinion

We conducted our audit in accordance with International Standards on Auditing (UK) (ISAs (UK)) and applicable law. Our responsibilities under those standards are further described in the Auditor's responsibilities for the audit of the financial statements section of our report. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion.

Independence

We are independent of the Company in accordance with the ethical requirements that are relevant to our audit of the financial statements, including the UK FRC's Ethical Standard as applied to listed public interest entities, and we have fulfilled our other ethical responsibilities in accordance with these requirements.

The non-audit services prohibited by the FRC's Ethical Standard were not provided to the Company and we remain independent of the Company in conducting the audit.

Conclusions relating to going concern

In auditing the financial statements, we have concluded that the directors' use of the going concern basis of accounting in the preparation of the financial statements is appropriate. Our evaluation of the directors' assessment of the Company's ability to continue to adopt the going concern basis of accounting included:

- The audit engagement partner directed and supervised the audit procedures on going concern;
- We assessed the determination made by the Board of Directors of the Company and the Investment Manager that the Company is a going concern and hence the appropriateness of the financial statements to be prepared on a going concern basis;
- We obtained the going concern assessment prepared by the Investment Manager for the period up until 30 June 2024 and tested for arithmetical accuracy and reasonability;
- We independently assessed the appropriateness of the assumptions by reviewing historical forecasting accuracy; performing an evaluation of the levels of liquidity of the Company's investments in the Master Partnership (Third Point Offshore Master Fund L.P.) through the Master Fund (Third Point Offshore Fund, Ltd.) for future share buyback plans, repayment of the loan and ongoing operating expenses; and applied a stress test to understand the impact on liquidity of the Company as a whole;
- We assessed whether the liquidity of the Master Partnership at the year end, taking account of the level of redemptions, potential gating and its ability to meet periodic discretionary redemptions of its investors, cast significant doubt over the going concern status of the Company; and
- We assessed the disclosures in the annual report and financial statements relating to going concern to ensure they were fair, balanced and understandable.

Based on the work we have performed, we have not identified any material uncertainties relating to events or conditions that, individually or collectively, may cast significant doubt on the Company's ability to continue as a going concern for a period up until 30 June 2024.

In relation to the Company's reporting on how they have applied the UK Corporate Governance Code, we have nothing material to add or draw attention to in relation to the directors' statement in the financial statements about whether the directors considered it appropriate to adopt the going concern basis of accounting.

Our responsibilities and the responsibilities of the directors with respect to going concern are described in the relevant sections of this report. However, because not all future events or conditions can be predicted, this statement is not a guarantee as to the Company's ability to continue as a going concern.

Overview of our audit approach

Key audit matters	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Investment Valuation ■ Investment Existence and Ownership
Audit scope	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ We performed an audit of the complete financial information of the Company for the year ended 31 December 2022.
Materiality	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Overall materiality of US\$13.5m which represents 2% of net assets.

An overview of the scope of our audit

Tailoring the scope

Our assessment of audit risk, our evaluation of materiality and our allocation of performance materiality determine our audit scope for the Company. This enables us to form an opinion on the financial statements. We take into account size, risk profile, the organisation of the Company and effectiveness of controls, including controls and changes in the business environment when assessing the level of work to be performed.

All audit work was performed directly by the audit engagement team. The audit was led from Guernsey, and the audit team included individuals from the Guernsey and New York offices of Ernst & Young and operated as an integrated audit team.

Climate change

The Company has explained in the "Section 172 Report" of their annual report climate-related risks and this forms part of the "Other information," rather than the audited financial statements. Our procedures on these disclosures therefore consisted solely of considering whether they are materially inconsistent with the financial statements or our knowledge obtained in the course of the audit or otherwise appear to be materially misstated.

Our audit effort in considering climate change was focused on the adequacy of the Company's disclosures in the financial statements as set out in Note 3 and the conclusion that there was not a material impact on the recognition and separate measurement considerations of the assets and liabilities of the Company as at 31 December 2022.

Key audit matters

Key audit matters are those matters that, in our professional judgement, were of most significance in our audit of the financial statements of the current period and include the most significant assessed risks of material misstatement (whether or not due to fraud) that we identified. These matters included those which had the greatest effect on: the overall audit strategy, the allocation of resources in the audit; and directing the efforts of the engagement team. These matters were addressed in the context of our audit of the financial statements as a whole, and in our opinion thereon, and we do not provide a separate opinion on these matters.

Risk	Our response to the risk	Key observations communicated to the Audit Committee
<p>Valuation of investments (US\$822m, PY comparative US\$1,202m)</p> <p><i>Refer to the Report of the Audit Committee (pages 41 to 44); Accounting policies (pages 58 to 60).</i></p> <p>The investments held are measured at fair value through profit or loss, and their fair value is determined by reference to the published NAV per share of the investee fund, as calculated by its independent Administrator. The valuation risk considers the risk of an error in the application of the published NAV per share, obtained from the independent Administrator of the investee fund, when calculating the fair value of the Company's investments, as well as the effect on valuation of any gating/ suspension of redemptions by the investee fund.</p>	<p>Our response comprised of substantive audit testing of the investment valuation, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Agreeing the valuation per share of the Company's investments in the investee fund to the NAV per share of the investee fund in the confirmation obtained from its independent Administrator; ■ Agreeing the valuation per share of the Company's investments in the investee fund to the NAV per share of the investee fund per its audited financial statements for the year ended 31 December 2022, which were approved on 17 March 2023; ■ Directing Ernst & Young in New York to perform testing on our behalf and reporting that no material adjustments to the NAV were required; and ■ Reviewing the subscriptions and redemptions schedule of the investee fund around the year-end date to assess the liquidity of the Company's investments in the investee fund. 	<p>We confirm that there were no matters identified during our work on valuation of investments that we wanted to bring to the attention of the Audit Committee.</p>
<p>Investment existence and ownership (US\$822m, PY comparative US\$1,202m)</p> <p><i>Refer to the Report of the Audit Committee (pages 41 to 44); Accounting policies (pages 58 to 60).</i></p> <p>Risk that the investments presented in the financial statements do not exist or the Company does not have the rights to cash flows derived from them. Failure to obtain good title exposes the Company to significant risk of loss.</p>	<p>Our response comprised the performance of substantive audit testing of investment existence and ownership including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Obtaining a confirmation, as at 31 December 2022, of the Company's holdings in the investee fund into which the Company invests, from the independent Administrator of the investee fund, and agreeing it to the accounting records of the Company; and ■ Agreeing supporting documentation for all additions and disposals of holdings in the investee fund that took place during the year ended 31 December 2022 and agreeing the details to the accounting records of the Company. 	<p>We confirm there were no matters identified during our audit work on existence and ownership of investments that we wanted to bring to the attention of the Audit Committee.</p>

Our application of materiality

We apply the concept of materiality in planning and performing the audit, in evaluating the effect of identified misstatements on the audit and in forming our audit opinion.

Materiality

The magnitude of an omission or misstatement that, individually or in the aggregate, could reasonably be expected to influence the economic decisions of the users of the financial statements. Materiality provides a basis for determining the nature and extent of our audit procedures.

We determined materiality for the Company to be US\$13.5million (2021: US\$21.1million), which is approximately 2% (2021: 2%) of net assets. We believe that net assets provides us with an appropriate basis for audit materiality as it is a key published performance measure and is a key metric used by management in assessing and reporting on overall performance.

Performance materiality

The application of materiality at the individual account or balance level. It is set at an amount to reduce to an appropriately low level the probability that the aggregate of uncorrected and undetected misstatements exceeds materiality.

On the basis of our risk assessments, together with our assessment of the Company's overall control environment, our judgement was that performance materiality was 75% (2021: 75%) of our planning materiality, namely US\$10.2m (2021: US\$15.9m). We have set performance materiality at this percentage because we have considered the likelihood of misstatements to be low. We have considered both quantitative and qualitative factors when determining the expected level of misstatements and setting the performance materiality at this level.

Reporting threshold

An amount below which identified misstatements are considered as being clearly trivial.

We agreed with the Audit Committee that we would report to them all uncorrected audit differences in excess of US\$0.68m (2021: US\$1.1m), which is set at 5% (2021: 5%) of planning materiality, as well as differences below that threshold that, in our view, warranted reporting on qualitative grounds.

We evaluate any uncorrected misstatements against both the quantitative measures of materiality discussed above and in light of other relevant qualitative considerations in forming our opinion.

Other information

The other information comprises the information included in the Annual Report set out on pages 1 to 44, other than the financial statements and our auditor's report thereon. The directors are responsible for the other information contained within the annual report.

Our opinion on the financial statements does not cover the other information and, except to the extent otherwise explicitly stated in this report, we do not express any form of assurance conclusion thereon.

Our responsibility is to read the other information and, in doing so, consider whether the other information is materially inconsistent with the financial statements or our knowledge obtained in the course of the audit or otherwise appears to be materially misstated. If we identify such material inconsistencies or apparent material misstatements, we are required to determine whether this gives rise to a material misstatement in the financial statements themselves. If, based on the work we have performed, we conclude that there is a material misstatement of the other information, we are required to report that fact.

We have nothing to report in this regard.

Matters on which we are required to report by exception

We have nothing to report in respect of the following matters in relation to which The Companies (Guernsey) Law, 2008 requires us to report to you if, in our opinion:

- proper accounting records have not been kept by the Company; or
- the financial statements are not in agreement with the Company's accounting records and returns; or
- we have not received all the information and explanations we require for our audit.

Corporate Governance Statement

We have reviewed the directors' statement in relation to going concern, longer-term viability and that part of the Corporate Governance Statement relating to the Company's compliance with the provisions of the UK Corporate Governance Code specified for our review by the Listing Rules.

Based on the work undertaken as part of our audit, we have concluded that each of the following elements of the Corporate Governance Statement is materially consistent with the financial statements or our knowledge obtained during the audit:

- Directors' statement with regards to the appropriateness of adopting the going concern basis of accounting and any material uncertainties identified set out on page 26;
- Directors' explanation as to its assessment of the Company's prospects, the period this assessment covers and why the period is appropriate set out on page 25;
- Director's statement on whether it has a reasonable expectation that the Company will be able to continue in operation and meets its liabilities set out on page 26;
- Directors' statement on fair, balanced and understandable set out on page 38;
- Board's confirmation that it has carried out a robust assessment of the emerging and principal risks set out on pages 35 to 36;
- The section of the Annual Report that describes the review of effectiveness of risk management and internal control systems set out on page 35; and;
- The section describing the work of the audit committee set out on pages 41 to 42.

Responsibilities of directors

As explained more fully in the directors' responsibilities statement set out on page 38, the directors are responsible for the preparation of the financial statements and for being satisfied that they give a true and fair view, and for such internal control as the directors determine is necessary to enable the preparation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

In preparing the financial statements, the directors are responsible for assessing the Company's ability to continue as a going concern, disclosing, as applicable, matters related to going concern and using the going concern basis of accounting unless the directors either intend to liquidate the Company or to cease operations, or have no realistic alternative but to do so.

Auditor's responsibilities for the audit of the financial statements

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements as a whole are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error, and to issue an auditor's report that includes our opinion. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance, but is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with ISAs (UK) will always detect a material misstatement when it exists. Misstatements can arise from fraud or error and are considered material if, individually or in the aggregate, they could reasonably be expected to influence the economic decisions of users taken on the basis of these financial statements.

Explanation as to what extent the audit was considered capable of detecting irregularities, including fraud

Irregularities, including fraud, are instances of non-compliance with laws and regulations. We design procedures in line with our responsibilities, outlined above, to detect irregularities, including fraud. The risk of not detecting a material misstatement due to fraud is higher than the risk of not detecting one resulting from error, as fraud may involve deliberate concealment by, for example, forgery or intentional misrepresentations, or through collusion. The extent to which our procedures are capable of detecting irregularities, including fraud is detailed below.

However, the primary responsibility for the prevention and detection of fraud rests with both those charged with governance of the Company and management.

We obtained an understanding of the legal and regulatory frameworks that are applicable to the Company and determined that the most significant are:

- Financial Conduct Authority ("FCA") Listing Rules
- Disclosure Guidance and Transparency Rules ("DTR") of the FCA
- The UK Corporate Governance Code
- The 2019 AIC Code of Corporate Governance
- The Companies (Guernsey) Law, 2008

We understood how the Company is complying with those frameworks by:

- Discussing the processes and procedures used by the Directors, the Investment Manager, the Company Secretary and Administrator to ensure compliance with the relevant frameworks;
- Reviewing internal reports that evidenced quarterly compliance testing; and
- Inspecting any correspondence with regulators

We assessed the susceptibility of the Company's financial statements to material misstatement, including how fraud might occur by undertaking the audit procedures set out in Key Audit Matters section above and reading the financial statements to check that the disclosures are consistent with the relevant regulatory requirements; and

Based on this understanding we designed our audit procedures to identify non-compliance with such laws and regulations. Our procedures involved:

- Making enquiries and gaining an understanding of how those charged with governance, the Investment Manager, the Company Secretary and Administrator identify instances of non-compliance by the Company with relevant laws and regulations;
- Inspecting the relevant policies, processes and procedures to further our understanding;
- Enquiring of the Company's nominated Compliance Officer;
- Reviewing internal compliance reporting, Board and Audit Committee minutes;
- Inspecting correspondence with regulators; and
- Obtaining relevant written representations from the Board of Directors

A further description of our responsibilities for the audit of the financial statements is located on the Financial Reporting Council's website at <https://www.frc.org.uk/auditorsresponsibilities>. This description forms part of our auditor's report.

Other matters we are required to address

- Following the recommendation from the audit committee, we were appointed by the Company to audit the financial statements for the year ending 31 December 2007 and subsequent financial periods. We signed an initial engagement letter on 12 November 2007.
- The period of total uninterrupted engagement including previous renewals and reappointments is sixteen years, covering the years ending 31 December 2007 to 31 December 2022.
- The audit opinion is consistent with the additional report to the audit committee.

Use of our report

This report is made solely to the Company's members, as a body, in accordance with Section 262 of The Companies (Guernsey) Law, 2008. Our audit work has been undertaken so that we might state to the Company's members those matters we are required to state to them in an auditor's report and for no other purpose. To the fullest extent permitted by law, we do not accept or assume responsibility to anyone other than the Company and the Company's members as a body, for our audit work, for this report, or for the opinions we have formed.

David Robert John Moore, ACA
for and on behalf of Ernst & Young LLP
Guernsey
25 April 2023

Notes:

- (1) The maintenance and integrity of the Company's website is the sole responsibility of the Directors; the work carried out by the auditors does not involve consideration of these matters and, accordingly, the auditor accepts no responsibility for any changes that may have occurred to the financial statements since they were initially presented on the website.
- (2) Legislation in Guernsey governing the preparation and dissemination of financial statements may differ from legislation in other jurisdictions.



FINANCIAL STATEMENTS



Statement of Assets and Liabilities

As at 31 December

	Notes	2022 US\$	2021 US\$
Assets			
Investment in Third Point Offshore Fund Ltd at fair value (Cost: US\$425,367,214; 31 December 2021: US\$462,831,750)		822,440,287	1,201,798,462
Cash and cash equivalents		64,597	465,592
Due from broker		11,944	11,766
Redemption receivable		6,121,484	4,776,165
Other assets		79,388	13,144
Total assets		828,717,700	1,207,065,129
Liabilities			
Accrued expenses and other liabilities		344,792	600,779
Loan facility	4	149,425,845	148,563,430
Loan interest payable		2,101,177	655,012
Administration fee payable		3,007	3,386
Total liabilities		151,874,821	149,822,607
Net assets		676,842,879	1,057,242,522
Number of Ordinary Shares in issue			
	7		
US Dollar Shares		27,666,789	32,658,497
Net asset value per Ordinary Share			
	9, 12		
US Dollar Shares		\$24.46	\$32.37
Number of Ordinary B Shares in issue			
	7		
US Dollar Shares		18,444,523	21,772,330

The financial statements on pages 54 to 66 were approved by the Board of Directors on 25 April 2023 and signed on its behalf by:

Rupert Dorey
Chairman

Huw Evans
Director

See accompanying notes and Audited Financial Statements of Third Point Offshore Fund Ltd. and Third Point Offshore Master Fund L.P.

Statement of Operations

For the year ended 31 December

	Notes	2022 US\$	2021 US\$
Realised and unrealised (loss)/gain from investment transactions allocated from Master Fund			
Net realised gain from securities, derivative contracts and foreign currency translations		58,236,092	261,882,322
Net change in unrealised loss on securities, derivative contracts and foreign currency translations		(326,475,586)	(2,924,913)
Net gain/(loss) from currencies allocated from Master Fund		3,118,956	(40,560)
Total net realised and unrealised (loss)/gain from investment transactions allocated from Master Fund		(265,120,538)	258,916,849
Net investment gain/(loss) allocated from Master Fund			
Interest income		38,342,786	20,805,290
Dividends, net of withholding taxes of US\$1,102,843; (31 December 2021: US\$2,369,507)		2,572,298	3,005,047
Other income		995,033	27,594
Incentive allocation	2	-	(52,989,103)
Stock borrow fees		(841,041)	(1,781,716)
Investment Management fee		(10,295,508)	(13,327,304)
Dividends on securities sold, not yet purchased		(2,322,396)	(6,131,553)
Interest expense		(5,090,386)	(2,023,056)
Other expenses		(2,891,319)	(3,387,734)
Total net investment gain/(loss) allocated from Master Fund		20,469,467	(55,802,535)
Company expenses			
Administration fee	5	(138,382)	(194,267)
Directors' fees	6	(331,634)	(280,566)
Other fees		(1,129,755)	(2,370,222)
Loan interest expense	4	(7,328,928)	(1,128,956)
Expenses paid on behalf of Third Point Offshore Independent Voting Company Limited ¹	5	(83,087)	(115,481)
Total Company expenses		(9,011,786)	(4,089,492)
Net gain/(loss)		11,457,681	(59,892,027)
Net (decrease)/increase in net assets resulting from operations		(253,662,857)	199,024,822

¹ Third Point Offshore Independent Voting Company Limited consists of Director Fees, Audit Fee and General Expenses.

See accompanying notes and Audited Financial Statements of Third Point Offshore Fund Ltd. and Third Point Offshore Master Fund L.P.

Statement of Changes in Net Assets

For the year ended 31 December

	Notes	2022 US\$	2021 US\$
Increase in net assets resulting from operations			
Net realised gain from securities, commodities, derivative contracts and foreign currency translations allocated from Master Fund		58,236,092	261,882,322
Net change in unrealised loss on securities, derivative contracts and foreign currency translations allocated from Master Fund		(326,475,586)	(2,924,913)
Net gain/(loss) from currencies allocated from Master Fund		3,118,956	(40,560)
Total net investment gain/(loss) allocated from Master Fund		20,469,467	(55,802,535)
Total Company expenses		(9,011,786)	(4,089,492)
Net (decrease)/increase in net assets resulting from operations		(253,662,857)	199,024,822
Increase in net assets resulting from capital share transactions			
Share redemptions	7	(126,736,786)	(81,789,424)
Net assets at the beginning of the year		1,057,242,522	940,007,124
Net assets at the end of the year		676,842,879	1,057,242,522

See accompanying notes and Audited Financial Statements of Third Point Offshore Fund Ltd. and Third Point Offshore Master Fund L.P.

Statement of Cash Flows

For the year ended 31 December

	Notes	2022 US\$	2021 US\$
Cash flows from operating activities			
Operating expenses		(1,452,090)	(2,016,335)
Interest paid		(5,020,348)	(185,685)
Directors' fees		(331,634)	(280,566)
Administration fee		(138,761)	(194,298)
Third Point Independent Voting Company Limited ¹		(83,087)	(115,481)
Change in investment in the Master Fund		6,624,925	(145,056,105)
Cash outflow from operating activities		(400,995)	(147,848,470)
Cash flows from financing activities			
Credit facility		-	150,000,000
Payment of loan costs		-	(1,724,829)
Cash inflow from financing activities		-	148,275,171
Net (decrease)/increase in cash		(400,995)	426,701
Cash and cash equivalents at the beginning of the year		465,592	38,891
Cash and cash equivalents at the end of the year		64,597	465,592

¹ Third Point Offshore Independent Voting Company Limited consists of Director Fees, Audit Fee and General Expenses.

	Notes	2022 US\$	2021 US\$
Supplemental disclosure of non-cash transactions from:			
Operating activities			
Redemption of Company Shares from Master Fund	7	126,736,786	81,789,424
Financing activities			
Share redemptions	7	(126,736,786)	(81,789,424)
Amortisation of loan cost		862,415	288,259

See accompanying notes and Audited Financial Statements of Third Point Offshore Fund Ltd. and Third Point Offshore Master Fund L.P.

Notes to the Audited Financial Statements

For the year ended 31 December 2022

1. The Company

Third Point Investors Limited (the “Company”) is an authorised closed-ended investment company incorporated in Guernsey on 19 June 2007 for an unlimited period, with registration number 47161. The Company commenced operations on 25 July 2007.

2. Organisation

Investment Objective and Policy

The Company’s investment objective is to provide its Shareholders with consistent long term capital appreciation, utilising the investment skills of the Investment Manager, through investment of all of its capital (net of short-term working capital requirements) through a master-feeder structure in shares of Third Point Offshore Fund, Ltd. (the “Master Fund”), an exempted company formed under the laws of the Cayman Islands on 21 October 1996.

The Master Fund’s investment objective is to seek to generate consistent long-term capital appreciation, by investing capital in securities and other instruments in select asset classes, sectors and geographies, by taking long and short positions. The Master Fund is managed by the Investment Manager and the Investment Manager’s implementation of the Master Fund’s investment policy is the main driver of the Company’s performance.

The Master Fund is a limited partner of , and invests all of its investable capital in, Third Point Offshore Master Fund L.P. (the “Master Partnership”), an exempted limited partnership organised under the laws of the Cayman Islands, of which Third Point Advisors II L.L.C., an affiliate of the Investment Manager, is the general partner. Third Point LLC is the Investment Manager to the Company, the Master Fund and the Master Partnership. The Master Fund and the Master Partnership share the same investment objective, strategies and restrictions as described above.

Investment Manager

The Investment Manager is a limited liability company formed on 28 October 1996 under the laws of the State of Delaware. The Investment Manager was appointed on 29 June 2007 and is responsible for the management and investment of the Company’s assets on a discretionary basis in pursuit of the Company’s investment objective, subject to the control of the Company’s Board and certain borrowing and leveraging restrictions.

During the year ended 31 December 2022, the Company paid to the Investment Manager at the level of the Master Partnership a fixed management fee of 1.25 percent of NAV per annum. Under the Investment Management Agreement, had the NAV of the Master Fund increased over the year, the Investment Manager would also have been entitled to a general partner incentive allocation of 20 percent of the Master Fund’s NAV growth (“Full Incentive Fee”) invested in the Master Partnership, subject to certain conditions and related adjustments, by the Master Fund. The general partner receives an incentive allocation equal to 20% of the net profit allocated to each Shareholder invested in each series of Class YSP shares. If a Shareholder invested in Third Point Offshore Fund, Ltd. (the “Feeder Fund”) has a net loss during any fiscal year and, during subsequent years, there is a net profit attributable to such Shareholder, the Shareholder must recover the amount of the net loss attributable in the prior years before the General Partner is entitled to incentive allocation. Class YSP shares are subject to a 25% investor level gate. The Company’s investment in the Master Fund is subject to an investor- level gate whereby a Shareholder’s aggregate redemptions will be limited to 25%, 33.33%, 50%, and 100% of the cumulative net asset value of such Class YSP shares held by the Shareholder as of any four consecutive quarters. Redemptions are permitted on a monthly basis but not to exceed these thresholds.

Additionally, the Master Fund has a 20% fund-level gate. The fund level gate allows for redemptions up to 20% of the Master Fund’s assets on a quarterly basis, subject to the discretion of the Board of Directors of the Master Fund. The Company was allocated US\$nil (31 December 2021: US\$ 52,989,103) of incentive fees at the Master Fund level for the year ended 31 December 2022.

3. Significant Accounting Policies

Basis of Presentation

These Audited Financial Statements have been prepared in accordance with relevant accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (“US GAAP”). The functional and presentation currency of the Company is United States Dollars (“\$US”).

The Directors have determined that the Company is an investment company in conformity with US GAAP. Therefore the Company follows the accounting and reporting guidance for investment companies in the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) Accounting Standards Codification (“ASC”) 946, Financial Services — Investment Companies (“ASC 946”).

The following are the significant accounting policies adopted by the Company:

Cash and cash equivalents

Cash in the Statement of Assets and Liabilities and for the Statement of Cash Flows is unrestricted and comprises cash at bank and on hand.

Due from broker

Due from broker includes cash balances held at the Company’s clearing broker at 31 December 2022. The Company clears all of its securities transactions through a major international securities firm, UBS (the “Prime Broker”), pursuant to agreements between the Company and Prime Broker.

Redemptions Receivable

Redemptions receivable are capital withdrawals from the Master Fund which have been requested but not yet settled as at 31 December 2022.

Valuation of Investments

The Company records its investment in the Master Fund at fair value. The Board has concluded specifically that climate change, including physical and transition risks, does not have a material impact on the recognition and separate measurement considerations of the assets and liabilities of the Company in the financial statements as at 31 December 2022, but recognises that climate change may have an effect on the investments held in the Master Fund. Fair values are generally determined utilising the net asset value (“NAV”) provided by, or on behalf of, the underlying Investment Manager of the investment fund. In accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) Accounting Standards Codification (“ASC”) Topic 820 “Fair Value Measurement”, fair value is defined as the price the Company would receive upon selling a security in a timely transaction to an independent buyer in the principal or most advantageous market of the security. During the year, the Company owned Class YSP shares of the Master Fund. During the year, the Company recorded non-cash redemptions of US\$1 25,882,030 (348,786 shares) for the cancellation of the Company shares under the share buyback programme and the Exchange Facility and redeemed US\$8,825,000 (25,860 shares) to pay Company expenses.

The following schedule details the movements in the Company’s holdings in the Master Fund over the year. With effect from 1 January 2022, the Company elected to participate in side-pocket investments within the Master Fund and, from that date, the Class Y shares held by the Company were designated as Class YSP shares.

	Shares held at 1 January 2022	Shares Rolled Up	Shares Transferred In	Shares Transferred Out*	Shares Issued	Shares Redeemed	Shares held at 31 December 2022	Net Asset Value Per Share at 31 December 2022**	Net Asset Value at 31 December 2022
Class YSP – 1.25,	490,000	—	—	—	—	—	490,000	78.34	38,386,055
Class YSP – 1.25,	2,275,763	—	—	(198,164)	—	—	2,077,599	330.29	686,213,574
Class YSP – 1.25,	22,699	—	—	—	—	—	22,699	330.07	7,492,378
Class YSP – 1.25,	451	—	—	—	—	—	451	328.07	148,094
Class YSP – 1.25,	441,000	—	—	—	—	—	441,000	75.01	33,078,705
Class YSP – 1.25,	450,000	—	—	—	—	—	450,000	71.33	32,096,954
Class YSP – 1.25,	49,000	—	—	—	—	—	49,000	75.01	3,675,412
Class YSP – 1.25,	230,392	—	—	—	—	(176,552)	53,840	330.29	17,782,785
Class YSP – 1.25,	50,000	—	—	—	—	—	50,000	71.33	3,566,328
Total									822,440,287

* All shares transferred out during the year were exchanged into the Master Fund per the exchange facility discussed in Note 11. ** Rounded to two decimal places.

The valuation of securities held by the Master Partnership, in which the Master Fund directly invests, is discussed in the notes to the Master Partnership's Audited Financial Statements. The net asset value of the Company's investment in the Master Fund reflects its fair value. At 31 December 2022, the Company's US Dollar shares represented 15.6% (31 December 2021: 14.74%) of the Master Fund's NAV.

The Company has adopted ASU 2015-07, Disclosures for Investments in Certain Entities that calculate Net Asset Value per Share (or its equivalent) ("ASU 2015-07"), in which certain investments measured at fair value using the net asset value per share method (or its equivalent) as a practical expedient are not required to be categorised in the fair value hierarchy. Accordingly the Company has not levelled applicable positions.

Uncertainty in Income Tax

ASC Topic 740 "Income Taxes" requires the evaluation of tax positions taken or expected to be taken in the course of preparing the Company's tax returns to determine whether the tax positions are "more-likely-than-not" of being sustained by the applicable tax authority based on the technical merits of the position. Tax positions deemed to meet the "more-likely-than-not" threshold would be recorded as a tax benefit or expense in the year of determination. Management has evaluated the implications of ASC 740 and has determined that it has not had a material impact on these Audited Financial Statements.

Income and Expenses

The Company records its proportionate share of the Master Fund's income, expenses and realised and unrealised gains and losses on a monthly basis. In addition, the Company accrues interest income, to the extent it is expected to be collected, and other expenses.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of Audited Financial Statements in conformity with US GAAP may require management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Other than what is underlying in the Master Fund and the Master Partnership, the Company does not use any material estimates in respect of the Audited Financial Statements.

Going Concern

The Master Fund Shares are liquid and can be converted to cash to meet liabilities as they fall due. Although these shares are subject to a 25% quarterly investor level redemption gate, the Board considers this to be sufficient for normal requirements. After due consideration, and having made due enquiry, given the nature of the Company and its investments, the Directors are satisfied that it is appropriate to continue to adopt the going concern basis in preparing these Audited Financial Statements for the period through 30 June 2024.

Foreign Exchange

Investment securities and other assets and liabilities denominated in foreign currencies are translated into United States Dollars using exchange rates at the reporting date. Purchases and sales of investments and income and expense items denominated in foreign currencies are translated into United States Dollars at the date of such transaction. All foreign currency transaction gains and losses are included in the Statement of Operations.

Recent accounting pronouncements

The Company has not early adopted any standards, interpretation or amendment that has been issued but are not yet effective. The amendments and interpretations which apply for the first time in 2022 have been assessed and do not have an impact on the Audited Financial Statements.

Credit facility

The Company accounts for the credit facility as a liability, initially recognized at the amount drawn less any related costs. Issuance costs are amortized and recognized as additional interest expense over the life of the loan. These expenses will impact the Company's net income for the remaining amortization period. The liability is adjusted for the repayment of principal, accrual of interest and amortization of issuance costs. At maturity of the facility, the Company expects to make a payment in cash to the issuer for release of any related obligations.

4. Credit Facility

On 1 September 2021, the Company entered into an agreement for a credit facility with JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., to employ gearing within the Company. The credit facility allows the Company to borrow \$150 million at a rate of LIBOR plus 2.4% for a period of two years. The investment in the Master Fund serves as the security for the credit facility. The credit facility matures on 31 August 2023. The agreement provides that the Company will pay interest on a quarterly basis. The credit facility was fully drawn by 31 December 2021 and the proceeds were invested in shares in the Master

Fund. In conjunction with the negotiation and execution of the agreement there were costs incurred by the Company. The Company paid the issuer of the facility US\$375,000 as a structuring fee and paid other loan related costs, such as legal costs, of US\$1,349,829 which is included as a direct reduction in the liability on the Statement of Assets and Liabilities expensed over the life of the facility.

5. Material Agreements

Management and Incentive fees

The Investment Manager was appointed by the Company to invest its assets in pursuit of the Company's investment objectives and policies. As disclosed in Note 2, the Investment Manager is remunerated by the Master Partnership by way of management fees and incentive fees.

Administration fees

Under the terms of an Administration Agreement dated 29 June 2007, the Company appointed Northern Trust International Fund Administration Services (Guernsey) Limited as Administrator (the "Administrator") and Corporate Secretary.

The Administrator is paid fees based on the NAV of the Company, payable quarterly in arrears. The fee is at a rate of 2 basis points of the NAV of the Company for the first £500 million of NAV and a rate of 1.5 basis points for any NAV above £500 million. This fee is subject to a minimum of £4,250 per month. The Administrator is also entitled to an annual corporate governance fee of £30,000 for its company secretarial and compliance activities.

In addition, the Administrator is entitled to be reimbursed out-of-pocket expenses incurred in the course of carrying out its duties, and may charge additional fees for certain other services.

Total Administrator expenses during the year amounted to US\$138,382 (31 December 2021: US\$194,267) with US\$3,007 outstanding (31 December 2021: US\$3,386) at the year-end.

VoteCo

The Company has entered into a support and custody agreement with Third Point Offshore Independent Voting Company Limited ("VoteCo") whereby, in return for the services provided by VoteCo, the Company will provide VoteCo with funds from time to time in order to enable VoteCo to meet its obligations as they fall due. Under this agreement, the Company has also agreed to pay all the expenses of VoteCo, including the fees of the directors of VoteCo, the fees of all advisors engaged by the directors of VoteCo and premiums for directors and officers insurance. The Company has also agreed to indemnify the directors of VoteCo in respect of all liabilities that they may incur in their capacity as directors of VoteCo. The expense paid by the Company on behalf of VoteCo during the year is outlined in the Statement of Operations on page 55 and amounted to US\$83,087 (31 December 2021: US\$115,481). As at 31 December 2022 expenses accrued by the Company on behalf of VoteCo amounted to US\$11,728 (31 December 2021: US\$23,525).

6. Directors' Fees

At the AGM in July 2020 Shareholders approved an annual fee cap for the directors as a whole of £500,000.

The Directors' fees during the year amounted to £269,000 (31 December 2021: £203,013) with £nil outstanding (31 December 2021: £nil) at the year-end.

The current fee rates for the individual Directors are as follows;

Name	Fee per annum
Chairman	£76,000
Audit Committee Chairman	£57,000
Director	£48,000
Senior Independent Director	£3,000
Chairman of the Management Engagement Committee	£3,000
Chairman of the Nomination and Remuneration Committee	£3,000

The Directors are also entitled to be reimbursed for expenses properly incurred in the performance of their duties as Director.

7. Stated Capital

The Company was incorporated with the authority to issue an unlimited number of Ordinary Shares (the “Shares”) with no par value and an unlimited number of Ordinary B Shares (“B Shares”) of no par value.

	Notes	US Dollar Shares
Number of Ordinary Shares		
Shares issued 1 January 2022		32,658,497
Shares Cancelled		
Shares cancelled for exchange into the Master Fund	11	(2,672,838)
Shares cancelled during the year		(2,318,870)
Total shares cancelled during the year		(4,991,708)
Shares in issue at end of the year		27,666,789

	Notes	US Dollar Shares US\$
Net assets at the beginning of the year		1,057,242,522
Shares Cancelled		
Share value exchanged into the Master Fund	11	(73,499,912)
Share value cancelled during the year		(53,236,873)
Total share value cancelled during the year		(126,736,785)
Net (decrease) in net assets resulting from operations		(253,662,857)
Net assets at end of the year		676,842,879

	Notes	US Dollar Shares
Number of Ordinary B Shares		
Shares in issue as at 1 January 2022		21,772,330
Shares Cancelled		
Shares cancelled for exchange into the Master Fund	11	(1,781,892)
Shares cancelled during the year		(1,545,912)
Total shares cancelled during the year		(3,327,804)
Shares in issue at end of the year		18,444,526

Voting Rights

Ordinary Shares carry the right to vote at general meetings of the Company and to receive any dividends, attributable to the Ordinary Shares as a class, declared by the Company and, in a winding-up will be entitled to receive, by way of capital, any surplus assets of the Company attributable to the Ordinary Shares as a class in proportion to their holdings remaining after settlement of any outstanding liabilities of the Company. B Shares also carry the right to vote at general meetings of the Company but carry no rights to distribution of profits or in the winding-up of the Company.

As prescribed in the Company’s Articles, each Shareholder present at general meetings of the Company shall, upon a show of hands, have one vote. Upon a poll, each Shareholder shall, in the case of a separate class meeting, have one vote in respect of each Share or B Share held and, in the case of a general meeting of all Shareholders, have one vote in

respect of each Share or B Share held. Fluctuations in currency rates will not affect the relative voting rights applicable to the Shares and B Shares. In addition all of the Company's Shareholders have the right to vote on all material changes to the Company's investment policy.

Repurchase of Shares

At each AGM, the Directors seek authority from the shareholders to purchase in the market for the forthcoming year up to 14.99 percent of the Shares in issue. Pursuant to this repurchase authority, the Company, through the Master Fund, commenced a share repurchase program in 2007. The Shares initially purchased were held by the Master Partnership. The Master Partnership's gains or losses and implied financing costs related to the shares purchased through the share purchase programme are entirely allocated to the Company's investment in the Master Fund.

In September, 2019, it was announced that the Company, again through the Master Fund, would seek to buy back, at the Board's discretion and subject to the requirement to buy no more than 14.99% of its outstanding stocks between general meetings, up to \$200 million worth of stock over the subsequent three years. The buy back programme was extended in September 2022 when a further \$50 million was allocated to buying back shares over the subsequent 12 months. Any shares traded mid-month are purchased and held by the Master Partnership until the Company is able to cancel the shares following each month-end. As at 31 December 2022, the Master Partnership held 170,120 shares of the Company – these shares were subsequently cancelled in January 2023.

Further issue of Shares

Under the Articles, the Directors have the power to issue further shares on a non-pre-emptive basis. If the Directors issue further Shares, the issue price will not be less than the then-prevailing estimated weekly NAV per Share of the relevant class of Shares.

8. Taxation

The Fund is exempt from taxation in Guernsey under the provisions of the Income Tax (Exempt Bodies) (Guernsey) Ordinance 1989.

9. Calculation of Net Asset Value

The NAV of the Company is equal to the value of its total assets less its total liabilities. The NAV per Share is calculated by dividing the NAV by the number of Ordinary Shares in issue on that day.

10. Related Party Transactions

At 31 December 2022, other investment funds owned by or affiliated with the Investment Manager owned 5,705,443 (31 December 2021: 5,705,443) US Dollar Shares in the Company. Refer to note 5 and note 6 for additional Related Party Transaction disclosures.

11. Significant Events

A small group of shareholders started a campaign against the Board during 2021 which continued into the early part of 2022. The Board engaged with the requisitionists and, in February 2022, both the Company and the requisitionists came to a mutually agreed position to strengthen the Board, further endorsing its independence and capability. This then led to the appointment of Richard Boléat and Vivien Gould to the Board with effect from 1 March 2022. Mr. Rupert Dorey was appointed Chairman of the Board with effect 18 February 2022.

On 28 April 2022 the Company announced that 2,672,838 TPIL Shares had been exchanged into Master Fund shares under the \$75 million Exchange Facility announced on 11 January 2022.

On 12 September 2022, the Company announced an extension to its buyback programme allocating of the order of \$50 million to buying shares over the subsequent 12 months.

In the year to 31 December 2022, almost 2.3 million shares were repurchased under the buyback programme with a value of approximately \$53 million, at a weighted average discount to NAV of 12.6%. This had the effect of accreting 27 cents per share to NAV.

There were no other events during the financial year outside the ordinary course of business which, in the opinion of the Directors, may have had an impact on the Audited Financial Statements for the year ended 31 December 2022.

12. Financial Highlights

The following tables include selected data for a single Ordinary Share in issue at the year-end and other performance information derived from the Audited Financial Statements.

	Notes	US Dollar Shares 31 December 2022 US\$
Per Share Operating Performance		
Net Asset Value beginning of the year		32.37
Income from Operations		
Net realised and unrealised loss from investment transactions allocated from Master Fund		(7.88)
Net loss		(0.30)
Total Return from Operations		(8.18)
Share buyback accretion		0.27
Net Asset Value, end of the year		24.46
Total return before incentive fee allocated from Master Fund		(24.44%)
Total return after incentive fee allocated from Master Fund		(24.44%)

Total return from operations reflects the net return for an investment made at the beginning of the year and is calculated as the change in the NAV per Ordinary Share during the year ended 31 December 2022 and is not annualised. An individual Shareholder's return may vary from these returns based on the timing of their purchases and sales of shares on the market.

	Notes	US Dollar Shares 31 December 2021 US\$
Per Share Operating Performance		
Net Asset Value beginning of the year		26.18
Income from Operations		
Net realised and unrealised gain from investment transactions allocated from Master Fund		5.85
Net loss		(0.12)
Total Return from Operations		5.73
Share buyback accretion		0.46
Net Asset Value, end of the year		32.37
Total return before incentive fee allocated from Master Fund		28.41%
Incentive allocation from Master Fund	2	(4.77%)
Total return after incentive fee allocated from Master Fund		23.64%

Total return from operations reflects the net return for an investment made at the beginning of the year and is calculated as the change in the NAV per Ordinary Share during the year ended 31 December 2021 and is not annualised. An individual Shareholder's return may vary from these returns based on the timing of their purchases and sales of shares on the market.

	Notes	US Dollar Shares 31 December 2022 US\$
Supplemental data		
Net Asset Value, end of the year		676,842,879
Average Net Asset Value, for the year¹		793,974,457
Ratio to average net assets		
Operating expenses ²		(3.84%)
Incentive fee allocated from Master Fund		-
Total operating expense ²		(3.84%)
Net gain ³		1.44%

¹ Average Net Asset Value for the year is calculated based on published monthly estimates of NAV.

² Operating expenses are Company expenses together with operating expenses allocated from the Master Fund.

³ Net gain (or loss) is taken from the Statement of Operations and is the net investment gain / (loss) for the year allocated from the Master Fund less the Company expenses over the average net asset value for the year.

	Notes	US Dollar Shares 31 December 2021 US\$
Supplemental data		
Net Asset Value, end of the year		1,057,242,522
Average Net Asset Value, for the year¹		1,044,204,635
Ratio to average net assets		
Operating expenses ²		(2.94%)
Incentive fee allocated from Master Fund		(5.07%)
Total operating expense ²		(8.07%)
Net loss		(5.74%)

¹ Average Net Asset Value for the year is calculated based on published monthly estimates of NAV.

² Operating expenses are Company expenses together with operating expenses allocated from the Master Fund.

³ Net gain (or loss) is taken from the Statement of Operations and is the net investment gain / (loss) for the year allocated from the Master Fund less the Company expenses over the average net asset value for the year.

13. Ongoing Charge Calculation

Ongoing charges for the year ended 31 December 2022 and 31 December 2021 have been prepared in accordance with the AIC recommended methodology. Performance fees were charged to the Master Fund. In line with AIC guidance, an Ongoing Charge has been disclosed both including and excluding performance fees. The Ongoing charges for year ended 31 December 2022 and 31 December 2021 excluding performance fees and including performance fees are based on Company expenses and allocated Master Fund expenses outlined below.


	31 December 2022	31 December 2021
Excluding performance fees		
US Dollar Shares	1.98%	1.91%
Including performance fees		
US Dollar Shares	1.98%	6.99%

14. Subsequent Events

As at 31 December 2022, the Master Partnership held 170,120 shares of the Company – these shares were subsequently cancelled in January 2023.

The Directors confirm that, up to the date of approval, which is 25 April 2023, when these financial statements were available to be issued, there have been no other events subsequent to the balance sheet date that require inclusion or additional disclosure.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION



Investor Information

Financial Calendar

Year end 31 December.

Annual results announced and Annual Report published in April.

Annual General Meeting held in June.

Interim results announced in September.

Website

Further information about Third Point Investors Limited, including share price and NAV performance, monthly reports and quarterly investor letters, is available on the Company's website: www.thirdpointlimited.com.

How to invest

Information is available on The Association of Investment Companies website, where a list of platform providers can be found: www.theaic.co.uk/availability-on-platforms.

Management and Administration

Directors

Rupert Dorey (Chairman)*¹

PO Box 255, Trafalgar Court, Les Banques,
St Peter Port, Guernsey, GY1 3QL,
Channel Islands.

Richard Boléat**²

PO Box 255, Trafalgar Court, Les Banques,
St Peter Port, Guernsey, GY1 3QL,
Channel Islands.

Huw Evans*

PO Box 255, Trafalgar Court, Les Banques,
St Peter Port, Guernsey, GY1 3QL,
Channel Islands.

Vivien Gould**²

PO Box 255, Trafalgar Court, Les Banques,
St Peter Port, Guernsey, GY1 3QL,
Channel Islands.

Joshua L Targoff

PO Box 255, Trafalgar Court, Les Banques,
St Peter Port, Guernsey, GY1 3QL,
Channel Islands.

Claire Whittet*

PO Box 255, Trafalgar Court, Les Banques,
St Peter Port, Guernsey, GY1 3QL,
Channel Islands.

* These Directors are independent.

¹ Mr. Rupert Dorey was appointed Chairman of the Board with effect 18 February 2022.

² Mr. Richard Boléat and Ms Vivien Gould were appointed to the Board with effect 1 March 2022.

Investment Manager

Third Point LLC

55 Hudson Yards,
New York, NY 10001,
United States of America.

Auditors

Ernst & Young LLP

PO Box 9, Royal Chambers
St Julian's Avenue,
St Peter Port, Guernsey, GY1 4AF,
Channel Islands.

Legal Advisors (UK Law)

Herbert Smith Freehills LLP

Exchange House, Primrose Street,
London, EC2A 2HS,
United Kingdom.

Registrar and CREST Service Provider

Link Market Services (Guernsey) Limited (formerly Capita Registrars (Guernsey) Limited)

Mont Crevelt House,
Bulwer Avenue,
St Sampson, Guernsey, GY2 4LH,
Channel Islands.

Registered Office

PO Box 255, Trafalgar Court, Les Banques,
St Peter Port, Guernsey, GY1 3QL,
Channel Islands.

Administrator and Secretary

Northern Trust International Fund Administration Services (Guernsey) Limited

PO Box 255, Trafalgar Court, Les Banques,
St Peter Port, Guernsey, GY1 3QL,
Channel Islands.

Legal Advisors (Guernsey Law)

Mourant

Royal Chambers, St Julian's Avenue,
St Peter Port, Guernsey, GY1 4HP,
Channel Islands.

Receiving Agent

Link Market Services Limited

The Registry,
34 Beckenham Road,
Beckenham, Kent, BR3 4TU,
United Kingdom.

Corporate Broker

Numis Securities Limited

45 Gresham Street,
London, EC2V 7BF,
United Kingdom.

Glossary

Activism/Constructivism

An approach where an investment manager engages in dialogue with investee companies to suggest opportunities to enhance value.

Buyback programme

A buyback is when a corporation purchases its own shares in the stock market.

Capital allocation

Asset and capital allocation are the processes of deciding where to put money to work in the market.

Corporate credit

A corporate credit strategy typically looks to generate an attractive return in excess of the current rate of inflation and an attractive total return, investing in the debt securities of corporations.

Discount

The discount, typically expressed as a percentage, is the amount by which the share price is less than the net asset value per share.

Event-driven

Event-driven refers to an investment strategy where the investment manager attempts to profit from a company's stock mispricing that may typically occur before, during or after a corporate event.

Fundamental

Fundamental analysis is a valuation tool used by stock analysts to determine whether a stock is over- or undervalued by the market.

Hedge basket

A hedge basket is an investment approach designed to reduce risk or exposure to other asset classes or currencies by bundling certain securities together and selling this bundle short (see Short selling).

Inflation

Inflation is a measure of how much more expensive goods and services have become over a certain time period.

JP Morgan Investment Grade Index

This is an index that measures the performance of fixed-rate debt markets.

Long equity

Long equity is an investment strategy that seeks to take a position in under-priced stocks in the manager's opinion. Its counterpart is Short selling, which seeks to profit from declining prices of over-priced stocks.

Mark to market

Mark to market is an accounting measure based on valuing assets on their current market price, as opposed to the historic cost.

Monetary policy

Monetary policy is the action a central bank or a government can take to influence how much money is in a country's economy and how much it costs to borrow.

MSCI World Index

This index includes a collection of stocks of all the developed markets of the world, as defined by MSCI.

NASDAQ Index

The Nasdaq Composite is an index that measures the performance of more than 3,000 securities that are all listed on the tech-focused Nasdaq stock market.

Net equity exposure

Net equity exposure is the difference between a fund's long positions and its short positions in its equity holdings.

Privates

A private investment is an asset that is not listed on a public exchange, and as a result has a more restricted ability to be bought and sold.

Public listing

A publicly-listed company is one whose shares are traded on an exchange.

S&P 500 Index

This is a market-capitalisation weighted index of the top 500 publicly traded companies in the U.S.

Short selling

A strategy that attempts to profit from a pessimistic view of a certain company, in which the investment manager borrows the security and sells it on the open market, hoping to buy it back later for a lesser amount.

Structured credit

Mortgage-backed securities and other consumer asset-backed securities.

The Investment Manager

Third Point L.L.C. is the investment manager of Third Point Investors Limited.

The Master Fund

An exempted company formed under the laws of the Cayman Islands on 21 October 1996.

The Master Partnership

The Master Fund is a limited partner of Third Point Offshore Master Fund L.P. (the "Master Partnership"), an exempted limited partnership under the laws of the Cayman Islands, of which Third Point Advisors II L.L.C., an affiliate of the Investment Manager, is the general partner.

Value strategies

Value investing involves a strategy of buying stocks that seem under-priced relative to their intrinsic value.

The Association of Investment Companies (AIC) website also features a glossary of definitions of relevant terms, which can be found at: <https://www.theaic.co.uk/aic/glossary>

Notice of Annual General Meeting

Notice is hereby given that the 2023 Annual General Meeting of Third Point Investors Limited (Company No. 47161, The “Company”) will be held at the offices of Northern Trust International Fund Administration Services (Guernsey) Limited, Trafalgar Court, Les Banques, St Peter Port, Guernsey, Channel Islands, on 7 June 2023 at 12pm (the “Meeting”).

Resolution on Form of Proxy Agenda

Business to be proposed as Ordinary Resolutions:

1. To receive and adopt the Annual Report and Audited Financial Statements of the Company for the year ended 31 December 2022.
2. To receive and adopt the Directors Remuneration Report as detailed in the Annual Report and Audited Financial Statements of the Company for the year ended 31 December 2022.
3. To re-appoint Ernst & Young LLP as Auditor of the Company until the conclusion of the next Annual General Meeting.
4. To authorise the Board of Directors to determine the Auditor’s remuneration.
5. To re-elect Rupert Dorey as a Director of the Company.
6. To re-elect Huw Evans as a Director of the Company.
7. To re-elect Josh Targoff as a Director of the Company.
8. To re-elect Claire Whittet as a Director of the Company.
9. To re-elect Richard Boléat as a Director of the Company.
10. To re-elect Vivien Gould as a Director of the Company.

Special Business to be proposed as Special Resolutions:

11. That the Company be authorised in accordance with Section 315 of the Companies Law to make market acquisitions (within the meaning of section 316 of the Companies Law) of its Shares (either for retention as treasury shares for future reissue and resale or transfer, or cancellation) provided that:
 - i. the maximum number of Shares hereby authorised to be purchased shall be 14.99% of the issued Ordinary share capital of the Company (excluding treasury shares) as at the date of this Annual General Meeting;
 - ii. the minimum price (exclusive of expenses) which may be paid for a Share shall be \$0.01;
 - iii. the maximum price (exclusive of expenses) which may be paid for a Share shall be the higher of: (a) 105 per cent of the average of the middle market quotations for a Share taken from the London Stock Exchange’s main market for listed securities for the five business days before the purchase is made; (b) the higher of the price of the last independent trade and the highest current independent bid at the time of the purchase; and (c) such other price as may be permitted by the Listing Rules of the UK Listing Authority;
 - iv. the authority hereby conferred shall expire at the conclusion of the next Annual General Meeting of the Company, or, if earlier, on the expiry of eighteen months from the passing of this resolution, unless such authority is renewed, varied or revoked by the Company in general meeting prior to such time; and
 - v. the Company may make a contract to purchase Shares under the authority hereby conferred prior to the expiry of such authority which will or may be executed wholly or partly after the expiration of such authority and may make a purchase of Shares pursuant to any such contract.

By Order of the Board

For and on behalf of
Northern Trust International Fund Administration Services (Guernsey) Limited
Secretary
25 April 2023

Notes

1. A member entitled to attend and vote at the meeting may appoint one or more proxies to exercise all or any of the member's rights to attend, speak and vote at the meeting. A proxy need not be a member of the Company but must attend the meeting for the member's vote to be counted. If a member appoints more than one proxy to attend the meeting, each proxy must be appointed to exercise the rights attached to a different share or shares held by the member. If a member wishes to appoint more than one proxy they may do so at www.signalshares.com.
2. To be effective, the proxy vote must be submitted at www.signalshares.com so as to have been received by the Company's registrars not less than 48 hours (excluding weekends and public holidays) before the time appointed for the meeting or any adjournment of it. By registering on the Signal shares portal at www.signalshares.com, you can manage your shareholding, including:
 - cast your vote
 - change your dividend payment instruction
 - update your address
 - select your communication preference.
 Any power of attorney or other authority under which the proxy is submitted must be returned to the Company's Registrars, LINK Group, PXS 1, Link Group, Central Square, 29 Wellington Street, Leeds, LS1 4DL. If a paper form of proxy is requested from the registrar, it should be completed and returned to LINK Group, PXS 1, Link Group, Central Square, 29 Wellington Street, Leeds, LS1 4DL to be received not less than 48 hours before the time of the meeting.
3. Pursuant to Regulation 41(1) of the Uncertificated Securities Regulations 2001 (as amended), the Company has specified that only those members registered on the register of members of the Company at close of business on 5 June 2023 (the Specified Time) (or, if the meeting is adjourned to a time more than 48 hours after the Specified Time, by close of business on the day which is two days prior to the time of the adjourned meeting) shall be entitled to attend and vote at the meeting in respect of the number of shares registered in their name at that time. If the meeting is adjourned to a time not more than 48 hours after the Specified Time, that time will also apply for the purpose of determining the entitlement of members to attend and vote (and for the purposes of determining the number of votes they may cast) at the adjourned meeting. Changes to the register of members after the relevant deadline shall be disregarded in determining the rights of any person to attend and vote at the meeting.
4. CREST members who wish to appoint a proxy or proxies through the CREST electronic proxy appointment service may do so for the meeting and any adjournment(s) thereof by using the procedures described in the CREST Manual. CREST personal members or other CREST sponsored members, and those CREST members who have appointed a voting service provider(s), should refer to their CREST sponsor or voting service provider(s), who will be able to take the appropriate action on their behalf.
5. In order for a proxy appointment or instruction made using the CREST service to be valid, the appropriate CREST message (a CREST Proxy Instruction) must be properly authenticated in accordance with Euroclear UK & Ireland Limited's specifications and must contain the information required for such instruction, as described in the CREST Manual (available via www.euroclear.com/CREST). The message, regardless of whether it constitutes the appointment of a proxy, or is an amendment to the instruction given to a previously appointed proxy must, in order to be valid, be transmitted so as to be received by the Company's registrars (ID: RA10) by the latest time(s) for receipt of proxy appointments specified in Note 3 above. For this purpose, the time of receipt will be taken to be the time (as determined by the time stamp applied to the message by the CREST Application Host) from which the issuer's agent is able to retrieve the message by enquiry to CREST in the manner prescribed by CREST. After this time, any change of instructions to proxies appointed through CREST should be communicated to the appointee through other means.
6. CREST members and, where applicable, their CREST sponsors or voting service providers should note that Euroclear UK & Ireland Limited does not make available special procedures in CREST for any particular messages. Normal system timings and limitations will therefore apply in relation to the input of CREST Proxy Instructions. It is the responsibility of the CREST member concerned to take (or, if the CREST member is a CREST personal member or sponsored member or has appointed a voting service provider(s), to procure that his CREST sponsor or voting service provider(s) take(s)) such action as shall be necessary to ensure that a message is transmitted by means of the CREST system by any particular time. In this connection, CREST members and, where applicable, their CREST sponsors or voting service providers are referred, in particular, to those sections of the CREST Manual concerning practical limitations of the CREST system and timings (www.euroclear.com/CREST).

7. The Company may treat as invalid a CREST Proxy Instruction in the circumstances set out in Regulation 35(5)(a) of the Uncertificated Securities Regulations 2001 (as amended).
8. Any corporation which is a member can appoint one or more corporate representatives who may exercise on its behalf all of its powers as a member provided that they do not do so in relation to the same shares.
9. Any electronic address provided either in this Notice or in any related documents (including the Form of Proxy) may not be used to communicate with the Company for any purposes other than those expressly stated.
10. If you need help with voting online, or require a paper proxy form, please contact our Registrar, Link Group by email at shareholderenquiries@linkgroup.co.uk , or you may call Link on 0871 664 0300 if calling from the UK, or +44 (0) 371 664 0300 if calling from outside of the UK. The office is open between 9.00 a.m. – 5.30 p.m., Monday to Friday excluding public holidays in England and Wales. Submission of a Proxy vote shall not preclude a member from attending and voting in person at the meeting in respect of which the proxy is appointed or at any adjournment thereof.

Legal Information

Third Point Investors Limited ("TPI") is a feeder fund listed on the London Stock Exchange that invests substantially all of its assets in Third Point Offshore Fund, Ltd ("Third Point Offshore"). Third Point Offshore is managed by Third Point LLC ("Third Point" or "Investment Manager"), an SEC-registered investment adviser headquartered in New York.

Unless otherwise noted, all performance, portfolio exposure and other portfolio data included herein relates to the Third Point Offshore Master Fund L.P. (the "Fund"). Exposures are categorized in a manner consistent with the Investment Manager's classifications for portfolio and risk management purposes.

Past performance is not necessarily indicative of future results, and there can be no assurance that the Funds will achieve results comparable to those of prior results, or that the Funds will be able to implement their respective investment strategy or achieve investment objectives or otherwise be profitable.

This document is being furnished to you on a confidential basis to provide summary information regarding a potential investment in the Funds and may not be reproduced or used for any other purpose. Your acceptance of this document constitutes your agreement to (i) keep confidential all the information contained in this document, as well as any information derived by you from the information contained in this document (collectively, "Confidential Information") and not disclose any such Confidential Information to any other person, (ii) not use any of the Confidential Information for any purpose other than to consider an investment in the Funds, (iii) not use the Confidential Information for purposes of trading any security, (iv) not copy this document without the prior written consent of Third Point and (v) promptly return this document and any copies hereof to Third Point, or destroy any electronic copies hereof, in each case upon Third Point's request (except that you may retain copies as required by your compliance program). The distribution of this document in certain jurisdictions may be restricted by law. Prospective investors should inform themselves as to the legal requirements and tax consequences of an investment in the Funds within the countries of their citizenship, residence, domicile and place of business.

All profit and loss or performance results are based on the net asset value of fee-paying investors only and are presented net of management fees, brokerage commissions, administrative expenses, any other expenses of the Funds, and accrued incentive allocation, if any, and include the reinvestment of all dividends, interest, and capital gains. From Fund inception through December 31, 2019, each the Fund's historical performance has been calculated using the actual management fees and incentive allocations paid by the Fund. The actual management fees and incentive allocations paid by the Fund reflect a blended rate of management fees and incentive allocations based on the weighted average of amounts invested in different share classes subject to different management fee and/or incentive allocation terms. Such management fee rates have ranged over time from 1% to 3% (in addition to leverage factor multiple, if applicable) per annum. The amount of incentive allocations applicable to any one investor in the Fund will vary materially depending on numerous factors, including without limitation: the specific terms, the date of initial investment, the duration of investment, the date of withdrawal, and market conditions. As such, the net performance shown for the Fund from inception through December 31, 2019 is not an estimate of any specific investor's actual performance. During this period, had the highest management fee and incentive allocation been applied solely, performance results would likely be lower. For the period beginning January 1, 2020, each Fund's historical performance shows indicative performance for a new issues eligible investor in the highest management fee (2% per annum), in addition to leverage factor multiple, if applicable, and incentive allocation rate (20%) class of the Fund, who has participated in all side pocket private investments (as applicable) from March 1, 2021 onward. An individual investor's performance may vary based on timing of capital transactions. The market price for new issues is often subject to significant fluctuation, and investors who are eligible to participate in new issues may experience significant gains or losses. An investor who invests in a class of Interests that does not participate in new issues may experience performance that is different, perhaps materially, from the performance reflected above due to factors such as the performance of new issues. The inception date for Third Point Offshore Fund, Ltd. is December 1, 1996, Third Point Partners L.P. is June 1, 1995, Third Point Partners Qualified L.P. is January 1, 2005, Third Point Ultra Ltd. is May 1, 1997, and Third Point Ultra Onshore LP is January 2019. All performance results are estimates and should not be regarded as final until audited financial statements are issued.

While the performances of the Funds have been compared here with the performance of well-known and widely recognized indices, the indices have not been selected to represent an appropriate benchmark for the Funds whose holdings, performance and volatility, among other things, may differ significantly from the securities that comprise the indices. Investors cannot invest directly in an index (although one can invest in an index fund designed to closely track such index). Indices performance includes reinvestment of dividends and other earnings, if any.

All information provided herein is for informational purposes only and should not be deemed as a recommendation or solicitation to buy or sell securities including any interest in any fund managed or advised by Third Point. All investments involve risk including the loss of principal. This transmission is confidential and may not be redistributed without the express written consent of Third Point LLC and does not constitute an offer to sell or the solicitation of an offer to purchase any security or investment product. Any such offer or solicitation may only be made by means of delivery of an approved confidential offering memorandum. Nothing in this presentation is intended to constitute the rendering of "investment advice," within the meaning of Section 3(21)(A)(ii) of ERISA, to any investor in the Funds or to any person acting on its behalf, including investment advice in the form of a recommendation as to the advisability of acquiring, holding, disposing of, or exchanging securities or other investment property, or to otherwise create an ERISA fiduciary relationship between any potential investor, or any person acting on its behalf, and the Funds, the General Partner, or the Investment Manager, or any of their respective affiliates.

Specific companies or securities shown in this presentation are for informational purposes only and meant to demonstrate Third Point's investment style and the types of industries and instruments in which the Funds invest and are not selected based on past performance. The analyses and conclusions of Third Point contained in this presentation include certain statements, assumptions, estimates and projections that reflect various assumptions by Third Point concerning anticipated results that are inherently subject to significant economic, competitive, and other uncertainties and contingencies and have been included solely for illustrative purposes. No representations, express or implied, are made as to the accuracy or completeness of such statements, assumptions, estimates or projections or with respect to any other materials herein. Third Point may buy, sell, cover or otherwise change the nature, form or amount of its investments, including any investments identified in this letter, without further notice and in Third Point's sole discretion and for any reason. Third Point hereby disclaims any duty to update any information in this letter.

Information provided herein, or otherwise provided with respect to a potential investment in the Funds, may constitute non-public information regarding Third Point Investors Limited, a feeder fund listed on the London Stock Exchange, and accordingly dealing or trading in the shares of the listed instrument on the basis of such information may violate securities laws in the United Kingdom, United States and elsewhere.

While Third Point believes the information in this presentation to be accurate, no reliance on this presentation should be placed. The information contained herein is subject to change without notice. An offer to invest in the Funds will only be made pursuant to the confidential private placement memorandum (the "PPM"), the Fund's limited partnership agreement (as applicable), and the Fund's subscription agreement, subject to any disclaimers, terms and conditions contained therein. Investors are encouraged to read the PPM and consult with their own advisers before deciding whether to invest in the Funds and periodically thereafter. Third Point will not accept new subscriptions into Third Point Partners L.P. and Third Point Partners Qualified L.P. from any non-US investor unless otherwise permissible under applicable law.

The representative in Switzerland is FundRock Switzerland SA, Route de Cité-Ouest 2, 1196 Gland, Switzerland. The paying agent in Switzerland is BCGE. The Prospectus/Offering Memorandum, the Articles of Association and audited financial statements of those funds available in Switzerland can be obtained free of charge from the representative in Switzerland. The place of performance and jurisdiction is the registered office of the representative in Switzerland with regards to the Shares distributed in and from Switzerland.



